What is meant by case management for the return-to-work of workers with musculoskeletal disorders?
A scoping review
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Abstract.
BACKGROUND: Case management interventions have shown to be effective to prevent musculoskeletal pain and disability, but a single definition has not been achieved, nor an agreed profile for case managers.
OBJECTIVE: To describe the elements that define case management and case managers tasks for return-to-work of workers with musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs).
METHODS: A comprehensive computerized search of articles published in English until February 16, 2021 was carried out in several bibliographic databases. Grey literature was obtained through a search of 13 key websites. A peer-review screening of titles and abstracts was carried out. Full text in-depth analysis of the selected articles was performed for data extraction and synthesis of results.
RESULTS: We identified 2,422 documents. After full-text screening 31 documents were included for analysis. These were mostly European and North American and had an experimental design. Fifteen documents were published between 2010 to 2021 and of these 7 studies were published from 2015. Fifteen elements were identified being the commonest “return-to-work programme” (44.4%) and “multidisciplinary assessment/interdisciplinary intervention” (44.4%). Of 18 tasks found, the most frequent was “establishing goals and planning return-to-work rehabilitation” (57.7%). Eighteen referral services were identified.
CONCLUSIONS: Despite there were several elements frequently reported, some elements with scientific evidence of their importance to deal with MSDs (e.g. early return-to-work) were almost not mentioned. This study proposes key points for the description of case management and case managers tasks.
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1. Introduction

Musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) are the second highest contributor to disability worldwide, being low back pain the leading cause of disability globally [1, 2]. Furthermore, musculoskeletal conditions account for the highest proportion of lost productivity in the workplace [1]. Its impact is significant in terms of health, wellbeing and economic costs in the European workforce, and it is a crucial determinant for a healthy aging [3]. In fact, MSDs are the commonest work-related health problems in Europe, representing half of the total sickness absence of at least three days of duration, 60% of permanent disabilities and generating an estimated cost of 0.5 to 2% of Gross Domestic Product [3, 4]. Consequently, one of the greatest health challenges is to reduce sickness absence due to MSDs and to support the return-to-work [5].

Interventions to prevent and manage MSDs need a biopsychosocial approach instead of the traditional biomedical approach due to their multi-causal origin [6]. The Biopsychosocial model was introduced by Engel (1977) and recognizes that the level of pain and disability are a result of interactions between physical, psychological, social and environmental factors that determine how the person will manage his/her own health [7]. Specifically, interventions for MSDs work-related must consider the biomechanical factors caused by workers’ movements (posture, duration, frequency, intensity, vibration, etc.), psychosocial factors (adaptive behaviour, psychological demands, stress, decision-making freedom, social support, etc.), and organizational constraints (contradicting orders, dependence, procedures, etc.) [8]. Several multidisciplinary rehabilitation and case management programmes have been shown to be effective to reduce MSDs, symptoms (such as pain) and disability, and to improve work continuity and return-to-work [9–11].

Case management programmes trace back to the 1800s, but the exact starting point is difficult to determine. In the United States, the first reports date around 1860 and their objective was to respond to the lack of coordination in the humanitarian and health services provided to immigrants and those most in need [12]. Since then, case management programmes have been widely implemented in a great diversity of domains, such as the education sector, social work, mental health settings, social welfare systems, insurance and compensation systems and wide variety of healthcare settings [13]. In 2009 the Case Management Society UK (CMSUK) defined case management as: “a collaborative process that values, plans, implements, coordinates, monitors and evaluates the options and services required to meet individual health, assistance, educational and employment needs, using the communication and available resources to promote quality and cost-effective results” [14].

Although during the last decades different authors and organizations (e.g. CMSUK) have proposed their own updated and extended descriptions for case management, a single description of case management for return-to-work interventions has not been achieved, nor an agreed profile for case managers. This lack of an agreed definition hinders the understanding, comparability and quality analysis. It is then essential to provide evidence-based descriptions of case management and to clarify the tasks of the case managers to promote a scientifically sound practice.

The objective of this study was to describe the elements that define case management and the tasks of the case management role for return-to-work of workers with MSD through a literature review.

2. Methods

The protocol of this study is available elsewhere [15]. This scoping review is based on the methodology described by Arksey and O’Malley [16] and the recommendations of Levac et al. and Colquhoun et al. [17, 18]. The steps to develop a scoping review can be grouped into five stages encompassing the whole process: (I) identification of the research question, (II) identification of relevant studies, (III) selection of the studies for the analysis, (IV) charting the data and (V) collecting, summarizing and reporting the results.
Grey literature included “literature produced at all levels of government, academics, business, industry in print, and electronic formats, but which is not controlled by commercial publishers” [19]. The grey literature key websites searches were conducted until February 16, 2021. The organizational websites were: World Health Organization; Occupational Health and Safety Agency European Union (OSHA-EU); United Kingdom (UK) – Case Management Society of the United Kingdom (CMSUK) and British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine; United States of America (USA) – Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Case Management Society of America, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, and American Case Management Association; and Australia: Rehabilitation Counselling Association of Australasia, Heads of Workers’ Compensation Authorities, Australian Society of Rehabilitation Counsellors (ASORC), Case Management Society of Australia and New Zealand, and Sira NSW.

2.3. Study selection

All obtained titles and abstracts were analysed independently by three researchers (MS, JMG, and FP) using the Covidence systematic review software (Covidence systematic review software, Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia).

The inclusion criteria were developed according to the PPC format for scoping reviews, where participants (P) were combined with concept (C) and
context (C) [20]. Based on this classification, the inclusion criteria were: (P) workers (active workers/unemployed workers) with MSDs (or mixed populations i.e. MSDs and another pathology); (C) studies that described a case management intervention or the tasks of the case manager role; and (C) case management interventions focused on return-to-work, whether it was analysed in isolation or in conjunction with another result (e.g. return-to-work and keeping the employees at work). Exclusion criteria were MSDs referred to acute trauma pathologies, surgical interventions in its acute phase, rheumatic pathologies; and military personnel since they are a sample with unique features that differ from most occupations.

Articles that met the inclusion criteria were read in full text by three independent researchers (MS, JMG and FP) to make the final decision of inclusion in the full review. At full-text screening, articles were excluded when both reviewers considered they did not fulfil the inclusion criteria. A senior researcher (CS) resolved any discrepancies. We identified the articles that were part of the same study. Of these, we selected only the article that included the description of case management or the tasks of the case manager role more broadly (i.e. the methodological paper). Therefore, there were three scenarios: 1) articles describing case management; 2) articles describing the tasks of the case manager role; and 3) articles that include the two descriptions above.

2.4. Charting the data

The relevant data from each selected article were extracted by three independent researchers (MS, JMG and FP), and were summarized using a data extraction form. Subsequently, a cross examination of the retrieved information to guarantee its accuracy and completeness was carried out. The data extraction sheet collected the descriptions of case management, the role or tasks of case managers and the referral services. Moreover, the variables of year of publication, country, study design and targeted population were also collected.

2.5. Collating, summarizing and reporting the results

A summary of all relevant information was performed to answer the research questions. Results were collated, summarized and thematically reported, identifying the common elements in the case management descriptions, the tasks of case managers and the referral services.

3. Results

Our searches identified 2,402 documents through database searches and 20 from grey literature. After exclusion of duplicates, 2,318 citations were screened for eligibility, of which 2,047 were excluded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria, and the full text was not available for two articles. We screened the full text of the remaining 269 articles. After full-text screening, 226 articles were excluded for not defining case management or the tasks of case managers, and 12 articles were identified as being part of the same study of other articles and were also excluded. These 238 excluded references are reported in Supplementary Table 1. Finally, 31 documents (29 scientific literature and two grey literature) were included in the review and were thus analysed (Fig. 1).

Table 2 shows the characteristics of the included documents. Of the 29 documents, 18 included a case management description [21–26, 28–31, 33–37, 47, 50, 51], 26 explained the tasks of case managers [21–32, 37–46, 48–51], and 29 included referral services [21–34, 36–43, 45–51]. Full definitions are provided in Supplementary Table 2. Sixteen studies were published before 2010 and 15 were published between 2010 to 2021, of these, seven studies were published from 2015. The majority were European (n = 16), mainly from the United Kingdom and Denmark, also the Netherlands, Switzerland, Sweden, Finland and Spain; and North America (n = 10) mainly from the United States, and also from Canada. Three studies were from Australia and two others from Asia (China and Malaysia). Most studies (n = 17) had an experimental or quasi-experimental design, three were observational (cohort or cross-sectional), four were reviews (three narrative and one systematic), three were editorials, there was two case-studies, two reports, and one article with mixed design. The target population were workers on sickness absence in 19 studies, and active workers were included as well in 12 articles.

3.1. Elements of case management descriptions

Eighteen studies reported a description of case management and a total of 15 elements were identified in these descriptions: (1) return-to-work
Fig. 1. Flowchart of the search strategy and study selection.

programme and (2) multidisciplinary assessment or interdisciplinary intervention were both found in 8 articles (44.4%). Coordination (3) was mentioned in 7 articles (38.9%). The elements (4) addressing multiple factors (medical, work environment, claims), (5) development of a plan, and (6) individual approach were reported in 5 documents (27.8%). Four documents included (7) interaction with providers and stakeholders, and (8) vocational intervention (22.2%). The (9) identification of barriers, and (10) support, coaching and empowerment were identified in three articles (16.7%). Two articles reported (11) early return-to-work, and (12) solving problems/mediating (11.1%). One article reported (13) keeping employees at work, (14) motivational interviews, and (15) work disability screening (5.6%) (Table 3).

3.2. Tasks of case managers

Table 4 shows the 18 tasks of case managers identified in 26 documents. These tasks are listed as follows, from highest to lowest number of mentions: (1) establishing goals and planning return-to-work
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Type of doc./Study design</th>
<th>Targeted workers</th>
<th>Elements of Case management</th>
<th>Tasks of case managers</th>
<th>Referral services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[22] Bishop A, 2014 United Kingdom</td>
<td>Protocol/Cluster randomized trial</td>
<td>Workers on SA and active workers</td>
<td>Early RTW</td>
<td>Ensuring the earliest RTW/accelerate the RTW process</td>
<td>General practitioner, Nurse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[23] Feuerstein M, 2003 United States</td>
<td>Original/RCT</td>
<td>Workers on SA and active workers</td>
<td>RTW programme</td>
<td>Addressing risk factors and barriers that hinder the ability RTW</td>
<td>Ergonomics, Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[25] Høgelund J, 2006 Denmark</td>
<td>Original/Pre-post study</td>
<td>Workers on SA and active workers (work resumption)</td>
<td>RTW programme</td>
<td>Offering/referring the employee services/adaptations or therapeutic workplaces</td>
<td>Work modification, Counselling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[26] Li-Tsang CV, 2008 China</td>
<td>Original/Randomized Clinical Trial</td>
<td>Only workers on SA</td>
<td>RTW programme</td>
<td>Supervising or coordinating the RTW process</td>
<td>Occupational physician, Rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author, year</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Type of doc./Study design</td>
<td>Targeted workers</td>
<td>Elements of Case management</td>
<td>Tasks of case managers</td>
<td>Referral services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– To Follow-up, assess, support and guide</td>
<td>– Vocational rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Interviewing and advise/evaluate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Monitoring RTW (Collect data, present a report)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[29] Russo D, 2002</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Original/Case study</td>
<td>Only workers on SA</td>
<td>– RTW programme</td>
<td>– Establishing goals and planning of RTW rehabilitation</td>
<td>– Occupational physician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Multidisciplinary assessment/interdisciplinary intervention</td>
<td>– Offering/referring the employee services/adaptations or therapeutic workplaces</td>
<td>– Rehabilitation (physiotherapist)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Coordination</td>
<td>– Monitoring RTW (Collect data, present a report)</td>
<td>– Mental health (psychologist)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Development of a plan</td>
<td>– General practitioner</td>
<td>– Occupational therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Vocational intervention/vocational independence</td>
<td>– Rehabilitation counselling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Supervising or coordinating the RTW process</td>
<td>– Rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Working with community health professionals</td>
<td>– Ergonomics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– To Follow-up, assess, support and guide</td>
<td>– Coaching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Monitoring RTW (Collect data, present a report)</td>
<td>– Occupational physician</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Multidisciplinary assessment/interdisciplinary intervention</td>
<td>– Offering/referring the employee services/adaptations or therapeutic workplaces</td>
<td>– Ergonomics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Coordination</td>
<td>– Monitoring RTW (Collect data, present a report)</td>
<td>– Coaching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Multiple factors (medical, work environment, claims)</td>
<td>– General practitioner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Interaction with providers and stakeholders</td>
<td>– Rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Solving problems, mediation</td>
<td>– Vocational rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Motivational interviews</td>
<td>– RTW coaching by an occupational health nurse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Identifying worker needs/expectations and disability perceptions</td>
<td>– Work modifications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Making a workplace visit</td>
<td>– General practitioner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Providing education, the worker</td>
<td>– Occupational physician</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Type of doc./Study design</th>
<th>Targeted workers</th>
<th>Elements of Case management</th>
<th>Tasks of case managers</th>
<th>Referral services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Vermeulen SJ, 2010 | Netherlands | Protocol/RCT with cost-effectiveness analysis | Only workers on SA | N/R | – Supervising or coordinating the RTW process  
– Communicating/interacting with managers and human resources  
– Working with community health professionals  
– Offering/referring the employee services/adaptations or therapeutic workplaces  
– Monitoring RTW (Collect data, present a report) | – Progressive reincorporation  
– Rehabilitation  
– Mental health  
– Vocational rehabilitation |
| Vogel N, 2017 | Finland | Review/Systematic Review | Only workers on SA | N/R | – RTW programme  
– Multidisciplinary assessment/interdisciplinary intervention  
– Coordination  
– Multiple factors (medical, work environment, claims)  
– Development of a plan  
– Individual approach/Tailored intervention  
– Identification of barriers  
– Progressive RTW  
– Mental health  
– CBT  
– Vocational rehabilitation  
– Work modification | – Physical exercise  
– Occupational physician  
– Mental health  
– Ergonomics |
– Mental health  
– CBT  
– Vocational rehabilitation  
– Work modification |
| Schultz IZ, 2007 | Canada | Review/critical review | N/R | N/R | – RTW programme  
– Multiple factors (medical, work environment, claims)  
– Interaction with providers and stakeholders  
– Solving problems, mediation | – Rehabilitation (physiotherapy and chiropractic)  
– Occupational physicians  
– Mental health (psychologist)  
– CBT |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Type of doc./Study</th>
<th>Targeted workers</th>
<th>Elements of Case management</th>
<th>Tasks of case managers</th>
<th>Referral services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[37] Büttmann U, 2009 Denmark</td>
<td>Original/RCT</td>
<td>Only workers on SA</td>
<td>RTW programme</td>
<td>Interviewing and advise/evaluate</td>
<td>Progressive RTW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multidisciplinary assessment/interdisciplinary intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td>Work modification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coordination</td>
<td></td>
<td>Counselling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Individual approach/Tailored intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vocational rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Identification of barriers</td>
<td></td>
<td>Occupational physician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Work disability screening</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rehabilitation (occupational physiotherapist and chiropractor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[38] Chamberlain MA, 2009 Sweden</td>
<td>Review/Narrative review</td>
<td>Workers on SA and active workers (work resumption)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>– Establishing goals and planning of RTW rehabilitation</td>
<td>Mental health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Supervising or coordinating the RTW process</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ergonomics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Communicating/interacting with managers and human resources</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vocational rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>To Follow-up, assess, support and guide</td>
<td></td>
<td>General practitioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ensuring the earliest RTW/accelerate the RTW process</td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical training program (fitness training, work hardening)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[39] Davis FN, 1990 United States</td>
<td>Review/Narrative review</td>
<td>Workers on SA and active workers</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>– Establishing goals and planning of RTW rehabilitation</td>
<td>Rehabilitation (practitioner and physiotherapist)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Communicating/interacting with managers and human resources</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mental health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>To Follow-up, assess, support and guide</td>
<td></td>
<td>Occupational therapist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vocational rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[40] Demou E, 2016 United Kingdom</td>
<td>Protocol/Mixed design</td>
<td>Only workers on SA</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>– Communicating/interacting with managers and human resources</td>
<td>Progressive RTW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Occupational therapist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vocational rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rehabilitation (physiotherapy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mental health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Health promotion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Work modification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[41] Fisker A, 2013 Denmark</td>
<td>Protocol/RCT (non-blinded)</td>
<td>Workers on SA and active workers</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>– Ensuring the earliest RTW/accelerate the RTW process</td>
<td>Physical exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Considering if sick-listed person should be granted a disability benefit</td>
<td></td>
<td>Occupational physician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mental health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CBT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ergonomics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Social worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chiropractic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Type of doc./Study design</th>
<th>Targeted workers</th>
<th>Elements of Case management</th>
<th>Tasks of case managers</th>
<th>Referral services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Iles RA, 2012 | Australia | Original/quasi-experimental pre-post design | Workers on SA and active workers | N/R | - Supervising or coordinating the RTW process  
- Communicating/interacting with managers and human resources  
- Working with community health professionals  
- Ensuring the earliest RTW/accelerate the RTW process | - General practitioner  
- Mental health  
- CBT |
| Murad MS, 2013 | Malasya | Original/cross-sectional study | Only workers on SA | N/R | - Supervising or coordinating the RTW process | - Occupational physician  
- Rehabilitation (physiotherapy)  
- Occupational therapy  
- Medication or surgery |
| Pransky GS, 2006 | United States | Original/Cohort study | Only workers on SA | N/R | - Communicating/interacting with managers and human resources  
- Working with community health professionals  
- Interviewing and advise/evaluate  
- Addressing risk factors and barriers that hinder the ability RTW | - General practitioner  
- Rehabilitation  
- Work modification  
- Occupational therapy |
| Provine KN, 2008 | United States | Editorial | Only workers on SA | N/R | - Establishing goals and planning of RTW rehabilitation  
- Supervising or coordinating the RTW process  
- Communicating/interacting with managers and human resources  
- Working with community health professionals  
- Offering/referring the employee services/adaptations or therapeutic workplaces | - General practitioner  
- Rehabilitation  
- Work modification  
- Occupational therapy |
| Smedley J, 2013 | United Kingdom | Original/Controlled pre-post comparison. | Only workers on SA | N/R | - Establishing goals and planning of RTW rehabilitation  
- Supervising or coordinating the RTW process  
- Communicating/interacting with managers and human resources  
- Offering/referring the employee services/adaptations or therapeutic workplaces | - Physical exercise  
- Occupational physician  
- Rehabilitation  
- CBT |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Author(s), Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Type of doc./Study Design</th>
<th>Targeted workers</th>
<th>Elements of Case management</th>
<th>Tasks of case managers</th>
<th>Referral services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[48]</td>
<td>Nicholas MK, 2019</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Original/Non-randomized controlled Trial</td>
<td>Only workers on SA</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>- Establishing goals and planning of RTW rehabilitation - Working with community health professionals - Addressing risk factors and barriers that hinder the ability RTW - Offering/referring the employee services/adaptations or therapeutic workplaces</td>
<td>General practitioner - Occupational physician - Rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[49]</td>
<td>Serra C, 2019</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Protocol/Cluster RCT</td>
<td>Workers on SA and active workers</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>- Establishing goals and planning of RTW rehabilitation - Supervising or coordinating the RTW process - Working with community health professionals - Identifying worker needs/expectations and disability perceptions - Offering/referring the employee services/adaptations or therapeutic workplaces - To follow-up, assess, support and guide - Interviewing and advise/evaluate - Ensuring the earliest RTW/accelerate the RTW process - Remaining patients in work</td>
<td>Occupational physician - Rehabilitation - CBT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year Country</th>
<th>Type of doc./Study design</th>
<th>Targeted workers</th>
<th>Elements of Case management</th>
<th>Tasks of case managers</th>
<th>Referral services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[50] BSRM, 2003 United Kingdom</td>
<td>Report</td>
<td>Those disadvantaged by illness or disability can be enabled to access, maintain or return to employment, or other useful occupation</td>
<td>– Interaction with providers and stakeholders &lt;br&gt; – Vocational intervention/vocational independence</td>
<td>– Establishing goals and planning of RTW rehabilitation &lt;br&gt; – Supervising or coordinating the RTW process &lt;br&gt; – Communicating/interacting with managers and human resources &lt;br&gt; – Working with community health professionals &lt;br&gt; – Offering/referring the employee services/adaptations or therapeutic workplaces &lt;br&gt; – Rehabilitation</td>
<td>– Occupational physician &lt;br&gt; – General practitioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[51] Belin A, 2016 Luxemburg</td>
<td>Report</td>
<td>Sick and injured workers</td>
<td>– Coordination &lt;br&gt; – Individual approach/Tailored intervention &lt;br&gt; – Support, coaching, empowerment</td>
<td>– Establishing goals and planning of RTW rehabilitation &lt;br&gt; – Supervising or coordinating the RTW process &lt;br&gt; – Communicating/interacting with managers and human resources &lt;br&gt; – Working with community health professionals &lt;br&gt; – Offering/referring the employee services/adaptations or therapeutic workplaces &lt;br&gt; – To Follow-up, assess, support and guide &lt;br&gt; – Identifying worker needs/expectations and disability perceptions</td>
<td>– Mental health &lt;br&gt; – Rehabilitation &lt;br&gt; – Occupational physician &lt;br&gt; – Vocational rehabilitation &lt;br&gt; – Social worker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CM elements / Ref.</th>
<th>[21]</th>
<th>[22]</th>
<th>[23]</th>
<th>[24]</th>
<th>[25]</th>
<th>[26]</th>
<th>[28]</th>
<th>[29]</th>
<th>[30]</th>
<th>[33]</th>
<th>[34]</th>
<th>[35]</th>
<th>[36]</th>
<th>[37]</th>
<th>[47]</th>
<th>[50]</th>
<th>[51]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n %</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. RTW program</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Multidisciplinary assessment/interdisciplinary intervention</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Coordination</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Multiple factors (medical, work environment, claims)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Development of a plan</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Individual approach/Tailored intervention</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Interaction with providers and stakeholders</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Vocational intervention/vocational independence</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Identification of barriers</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Support, coaching, empowerment</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Early RTW</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Solving problems, mediation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Keep employees at work</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Motivational interviews</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Work disability screening</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. RTW: return-to-work</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RTW: return-to-work.

We identified 18 different services offered as part of case management programmes in 29 articles (Table 5). Rehabilitation and mental health were the commonest services offered to workers, found in 17 (58.6%) and 16 (55.2%) documents respectively. Occupational physician was mentioned in 51.7% and general practitioner in 37.9% of the documents. Vocational rehabilitation and work modification were reported in 31.0% studies. Six studies included progressive return-to-work and cognitive behavioural therapy (20.7%), and five studies included the referral services of ergonomics and physical exercise/training/work hardening (17.2%). The 13.8% included nurse or occupational nurse, occupational therapy and social worker. Counselling and chiropractic were reported in 10.3% of documents, coaching in 6.9% and health promotion and medication or surgery in 3.4%.

3.3. Referral services offered in case management programmes

We systematically reviewed the literature to identify the described elements of case management, the tasks of case managers and the referral services offered, for the return-to-work of workers with rehabilitation (n = 15, 57.7%); (2) working with community health professionals (n = 12, 46.2%); (3) supervising or coordinating the RTW process (n = 11, 42.3%); (4) communicating/interacting with managers and human resources and (5) offering/referring the employee services/adaptations or therapeutic (n = 10, 38.5%); (6) to follow-up, assess, support and guidance (n = 8, 30.8%); (7) interviewing and advising/evaluating (n = 7, 26.9%); (8) ensuring the earliest RTW/accelerating the RTW process (n = 6, 23.1%); (9) monitoring RTW (collecting data, presenting a report) (n = 4, 15.4%); (10) addressing risk factors and barriers that hinder the ability to RTW and (11) identifying worker’s needs/expectations and disability/ perceptions (n = 3, 11.5%); (12) instructing patients stay active and avoiding static-work, (13) considering if sick-listed person should be granted a disability benefit and (14) remaining patients at work (n = 2, 7.7%); and (15) assessing if the sick-listed employee is able to RTW, (16) making a workplace visit, (17) providing education the worker and (18) providing education to the employer (n = 1, 3.8%).

4. Discussion

We systematically reviewed the literature to identify the described elements of case management, the tasks of case managers and the referral services offered, for the return-to-work of workers with
### Table 4
Tasks of case manager reported in 26 articles

| Tasks / [Ref.] | [21] | [22] | [23] | [24] | [25] | [26] | [27] | [28] | [29] | [30] | [31] | [32] | [33] | [34] | [35] | [36] | [37] | [38] | [39] | [40] | [41] | [42] | [43] | [44] | [45] | [46] | [47] | [48] | [49] | [50] | [51] | n  | %  |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|----|
| 1. Establishing goals and planning of RTW rehabilitation | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 15  | 57.7|
| 2. Working with community health professionals | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 12  | 46.2|
| 3. Supervising or coordinating the RTW process | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 11  | 42.3|
| 4. Communicating/ interacting with managers and human resources | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 10  | 38.5|
| 5. Offering/referring the employee services/adaptations or therapeutic workplaces | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 10  | 38.5|
| 6. To Follow-up, assess, support and guide | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 8   | 30.8|
| 7. Interviewing and advise/ evaluate | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 7   | 26.9|
| 8. Ensuring the earliest RTW/ accelerate the RTW process | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 6   | 23.1|
| 9. Monitoring RTW (Collect data, present a report) | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 4   | 15.4|
| 10. Addressing risk factors and barriers that hinder the ability RTW | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 3   | 11.5|
| 11. Identifying worker needs/expectations and disability perceptions | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 3   | 11.5|
| 12. Instructing patients stay active and avoid static-work | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 2   | 7.7 |
| 13. Considering if sick-listed person should be granted a disability benefit | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 2   | 7.7 |
| 14. Remaining patients in work | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 2   | 7.7 |
| 15. Assessing if the sick-listed employee is able to RTW | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 1   | 3.8 |
| 16. Making a workplace visit | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 1   | 3.8 |
| 17. Providing education the worker | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 1   | 3.8 |
| 18. Providing education to the employer | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | X    | 1   | 3.8 |

RTW: return-to-work.
MSDs. Through 29 scientific papers and 2 reports from grey literature, we identified 15 elements that describe case management, 18 tasks of the case manager role, and 18 referral services.

Eighteen studies reported a description of case management but only four used or adapted previous definitions. Li Tsang et al. [26] and Russo et al. [29] used the definition proposed by Maki [52] which includes five elements: return-to-work programme, multidisciplinary assessment, development of a plan, coordination and vocational independency. Moreover Beaumont et al. [21] published an editorial in support of the description proposed by Nicholson, who stated that case management is to “case-manage people who are on sick leave, working with community health professionals to ensure the earliest return of functional capacity and return-to-work” [53]. Lastly, Bishop et al. [22] used the description from Stapelfeldt et al. “goal-oriented approach to keeping employees at work and facilitating an early return-to-work” [54].

The described elements in this scoping review were mainly return-to-work programme, interdisciplinary intervention, coordination, addressing multiple factors and development of a plan. Development of a plan should include a detail of the measures that the employee will undertake, modifications to work equipment, working hours and review dates [55]. The element of identification of barriers can be especially important in complex cases for return-to-work in workers with MSDs [56] and can be separated into three basic categories: biomedical, ergonomic and psychosocial [57]. Moreover, an early intervention addressing psychosocial obstacles to recovery can be effective for reducing absence due to MSDs [56]. However, only two articles included early return-to-work, and only one mentioned keeping employees at work.

As mentioned previously, the Case Management Society UK proposed the following definition of case management: “a collaborative process that values, plans, implements, coordinates, monitors and evaluates the options and services required to meet individual health, assistance, educational and employment needs, using the communication and available resources to promote quality and cost-effective results” [14]. Although this definition is not focused on MSDs, it includes the use of resources and cost-effectivity terms, which are important issues to consider.

The case manager is the person on charge of carrying out the case management, fulfilling a range of tasks and responsibilities to support the return-to-work of workers with musculoskeletal disorders.
of tasks, and always looking for a balance between the return-to-work achievement and the available resources. The main tasks of case managers reported by the literature were the establishment of goals and the rehabilitation plan for return-to-work, which requires an agreement with the worker to get a successful return-to-work [55]. Secondly, to work with community health professionals, the supervision or coordination of the return-to-work process and the communication or interaction with managers and human resources, were tasks that clearly align with the elements of the descriptions mentioned before, along with ensuring the earliest possible return-to-work, helping to keep workers at work, addressing risk factors and barriers that limit the capacity to return-to-work, and monitoring return-to-work (collecting basic data or presenting a report). In addition, we found 10 other characteristics focused on the case manager-worker relationship which usually fit in the biopsychosocial approach, such as instructing patients to stay active and avoid static-work, considering whether a sick-listed person should be granted a disability benefit, identifying expectations and perceptions about disability, making workplace visits, providing education to the worker and the employer, assessing whether the sick-listed employee is able to return-to-work, interviewing, advising, evaluating, carrying out follow-up assessments, providing support and offering guidance or referring the employees to services, and facilitating workplace adaptations, which are crucial for successful implementation [56].

Finally, the case manager will need to identify the appropriate referral services for each worker (i.e. long-term sickness absence worker may require more support and specific services). Eighteen referral services were identified. Some of these services are usually included in the structure of health systems, such as the general practitioner, the occupational physician or nurse, rehabilitation or surgical procedures. In addition, for most services described in this scoping review there is wide evidence of their effectiveness in interventions for return-to-work such as cognitive-behavioural therapy [58], vocational rehabilitation [58] and progressive return-to-work and job modifications [59]. However, a few other described interventions with limited evidence for the return-to-work process, such as general mental health [60] and physical exercise [58, 61]. Hence, offered services in a case management programme should address the physical, psychological, social and setting areas, and be based on the available scientific evidence.

4.1. Strengths and limitations

This scoping review was an attempt for the first time to our knowledge to classify and evaluate the elements of the description of case management, the tasks of case managers and the referral services for the return-to-work of workers with MSDs through the scientific and the grey available literature. Also, we did not limit the type of documents, nor the year of publication.

Our review has some limitations. First, we could not find the full text of two documents and we cannot rule out the possibility of having lost other relevant information. Moreover, there may be a country bias since most articles came from the United States and the United Kingdom because of language restrictions, and therefore, these countries and their contexts may be over-represented.

4.2. Key points

Based on the information on case management elements, tasks, and services analysed in this scoping review, we present several key points for the content of case management and the tasks of case managers. We have incorporated the commonest elements of case management along with the new ones identified in this review that are less common but necessary for the management of musculoskeletal disorders: (1) case management for the return-to-work of workers with musculoskeletal disorders must be a tailored and multidisciplinary intervention to keep the working-age population at work or to promote an early return-to-work, framed in the biopsychosocial model; (2) case management needs to be tailored to the requirements of the specific workers’ compensation or other return-to-work framework in place in each particular country; (3) the role of case managers covers a wide range of tasks from the follow-up/controlling the return-to-work process to a biopsychosocial perspective; (4) generally, case managers’ tasks include the assessment, coordination, organization, implementation, referral to services, monitoring and evaluating the rehabilitation plan, involving multiple factors; (5) to incorporate a biopsychosocial approach, the tasks of case managers should also include the guidance, empowerment and education of both workers and employers, the identification of physical, psychological and social barriers and facilitators, and the interaction with the stakeholders involved in the health, work, psychosocial or administrative settings; (6) the case manager needs
to identify the appropriate referral services for each worker, and usually complex cases will require more services.

5. Conclusion

This scoping review identified and reported the described elements of case management, the tasks of case managers and the referral services offered, for the return-to-work of workers with MSDs. Despite several elements are frequently reported, some elements with scientific evidence of their importance to deal with MSDs, such as early return-to-work, identifying barriers or keeping employees at work are almost not used. There is a need to establish an agreed definition of case management for MSDs. Finally, this study proposes several key points for the content of case management and tasks of case managers.
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