

# Eye & Contact Lens

## Response of the ageing eye to first day of modern material contact lens wear --Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	ECL-17-219R2
<b>Full Title:</b>	Response of the ageing eye to first day of modern material contact lens wear
<b>Article Type:</b>	Original Study
<b>Keywords:</b>	contact lenses; multifocal; presbyopia; osmolarity.
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Edouard Lafosse, MSc Universitat de Valencia Burjassot, Valencia SPAIN
<b>Corresponding Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution:</b>	Universitat de Valencia
<b>Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:</b>	
<b>First Author:</b>	Edouard Lafosse, MSc
<b>First Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Edouard Lafosse, MSc Noelia Martínez-Albert, MSc James SW Wolffsohn, Ph.D Alejandro Cerviño, Ph.D Santiago García-Lázaro, PhD
<b>Order of Authors Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Abstract:</b>	<p>ABSTRACT</p> <p>Objectives: To investigate the ocular surface of an aged population wearing a daily disposable contact lens over their first day of wear.</p> <p>Methods: Forty eyes from forty presbyopic subjects were fitted a daily CL (Delefilcon A). Tear osmolarity, tear meniscus area (TMA) and ocular surface aberrations (total higher order root means square (RMS)) were assessed at baseline (t0), at 20 minutes (t1) and after 8 hours (t2) of wear. Fluorescein corneal and conjunctival staining and tear break up time (TBUT) were performed at t0 and t2.</p> <p>Results: No statistically significant changes were found between t0, t1 and t2 for TMA, and between t0 and t2 for fluorescein corneal and conjunctival staining. TBUT worsened by the end of the day from 10.4±0.4 seconds t0 to 9.0±0.3 seconds t2 (P &lt; 0.05). Osmolarity showed significant changes between t0 306.9±2.3 mOsm/L and t1 312.4±2.4 mOsm/L (P = 0.02), but returned to baseline values at 8 hours (310.40±2.26 mOsm/L; P = 0.09). Total higher order root means square (RMS) showed significant changes between t0 0.38±0.02 µm and t1 0.61±0.04 µm (P ≤ 0.001) and between t0 and t2 0.64±0.41 µm (P ≤ 0.001).</p> <p>Conclusions: Delefilcon A may induce measures changes (osmolarity and TBUT values) in a presbyopic population, however TMA and vital staining were maintained at the baseline level over the day.</p> <p>Keywords: Contact lenses, multifocal, presbyopia, osmolarity</p>

# Response of the ageing eye to first day of modern material contact lens wear

**Authors:** Lafosse E<sup>1,2</sup>, M.Sc., Martínez-Albert N<sup>1</sup>, M.Sc., Wolffsohn JS<sup>2</sup>, Ph.D., Cerviño A<sup>1</sup>, Ph.D., García-Lázaro S<sup>1</sup>, Ph.D.

<sup>1</sup>Optometry Research Group. Department of Optics & Optometry & Vision Sciences. University of Valencia. Valencia, Spain.

<sup>2</sup>Ophthalmic Research Group, School of Life and Health Sciences, Aston University. Birmingham, UK.

## **Corresponding Author:**

Edouard Lafosse

Department of Optics & Optometry & Vision Sciences

University of Valencia

Dr. Moliner, 50, 46100 Burjassot-Valencia Spain

Tel: 963 86 41 00

e-mail: edouard.lafosse@uv.es

**One table, three figures**

**Paper submitted on 27/11/2017**

**Acknowledgements and Disclosure:** The authors have no proprietary interest in any of the materials mentioned in this article. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 642760 EDEN ITN-EJD Project Horizon 2020 and 'Atracció de Talent' research scholarship UV-INV-PREDOC16F1-385061 (University of Valencia) awarded to Noelia Martínez.

1 **ABSTRACT**

2

3 **Objectives:** To investigate the ocular surface of an aged population wearing a daily  
4 disposable contact lens over their first day of wear.

5 **Methods:** Forty eyes from forty presbyopic subjects were fitted a daily CL (Delefilcon A).

6 Tear osmolarity, tear meniscus area (TMA) and ocular surface aberrations (total higher  
7 order root means square (RMS) were assessed at baseline ( $t_0$ ), at 20 minutes ( $t_1$ ) and  
8 after 8 hours ( $t_2$ ) of wear. Fluorescein corneal and conjunctival staining and tear break  
9 up time (TBUT) were performed at  $t_0$  and  $t_2$ .

10 **Results:** No statistically significant changes were found between  $t_0$ ,  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  for TMA,  
11 and between  $t_0$  and  $t_2$  for fluorescein corneal and conjunctival staining. TBUT worsened  
12 by the end of the day from  $10.4 \pm 0.4$  seconds  $t_0$  to  $9.0 \pm 0.3$  seconds  $t_2$  ( $P < 0.05$ ).  
13 Osmolarity showed significant changes between  $t_0$   $306.9 \pm 2.3$  mOsm/L and  $t_1$   $312.4 \pm 2.4$   
14 mOsmol/L ( $P = 0.02$ ), but returned to baseline values at 8 hours ( $310.40 \pm 2.26$  mOsm/L;  
15  $P = 0.09$ ). Total higher order root means square (RMS) showed significant changes  
16 between  $t_0$   $0.38 \pm 0.02$   $\mu\text{m}$  and  $t_1$   $0.61 \pm 0.04$   $\mu\text{m}$  ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) and between  $t_0$  and  $t_2$   
17  $0.64 \pm 0.41$   $\mu\text{m}$  ( $P \leq 0.001$ ).

18 **Conclusions:** Delefilcon A **may induce measures changes** (osmolarity and TBUT values)  
19 in a presbyopic population, however TMA and vital staining were maintained at the  
20 baseline level over the day.

21 **Keywords:** Contact lenses, multifocal, presbyopia, osmolarity

22

23

## 24 INTRODUCTION

25 The lacrimal functional unit is a system composed of the ocular surface, its secretory  
26 glands (lacrymal glands, meibomian glands, conjunctival goblet cells), the nerves that  
27 connect them<sup>1</sup> and the nasolacrimal passage<sup>2</sup>. A healthy ocular surface is maintained by  
28 proper tear production and drainage; any perturbation in this balance may lead to  
29 dryness of the ocular surface and eventually to Dry Eye Disease (DED)<sup>3</sup>.

30         Increasing age leads to several changes to the tear film (TF) and the ocular  
31 surface<sup>4</sup>, which include: a reduced tear volume<sup>4,5</sup> (lacrimal gland dysfunction, decrease  
32 in lacrimal gland mass) which is thought to increase tear osmolarity and compromise TF  
33 stability<sup>5</sup>; reduced reflex tear secretion and breakup time (TBUT)<sup>6</sup>; and to decline the  
34 function of goblet and meibomian glands cells<sup>7</sup>.

35         Given the increase in life expectancy, an increase in the prevalence of dry eye in  
36 the population is also expected<sup>4</sup>. Nonetheless, information regarding the prevalence of  
37 DED in the elderly is quite equivocal<sup>8-11</sup>. Several consequences of the normal aging  
38 process could explain why the elderly population could be more prone to dry eye; this  
39 includes raised oxidative stress, hormonal changes, inflammatory systemic conditions<sup>4</sup>,  
40 lid laxity and the use of systemic and topical medication<sup>4,12</sup>. DED has been considered  
41 as a significant concern in the aging contact lens (CL) wearing population<sup>1,13</sup>. Bennet et  
42 al. highlighted that a comprehensive anterior segment exam is an essential prerequisite  
43 to CL fitting, due to the higher prevalence of the anterior segment conjunctival  
44 degenerative processes that may disrupt the TF layer<sup>14</sup>. When a CL is fitted on a patient's  
45 eye, the TF is separated into pre- and post-lens TF. In addition to the changes in

46 composition, pre-lens TF (PLTF) stability is reduced due to the thinning of the lipid layer  
47 and the tear volume on the anterior surface of the CL is also diminished, both events  
48 leading to an increased evaporation rate and dewetting compared to normal TF<sup>15</sup>.  
49 CL discomfort has been identified as the primary reason for CL discontinuation<sup>15,16,17,18</sup>.  
50 CL material (silicone hydrogel<sup>19</sup>), parameters (lower sphere power<sup>16</sup>) and wearing  
51 schedule (daily disposable<sup>19</sup>) have been reported as the main aspects associated with CL  
52 dropouts<sup>19</sup>. According to a recent survey<sup>19</sup>, increased age is the main factor impacting  
53 retention rate, with multifocal CL fittings presenting the lowest continuation of use  
54 (57%) in comparison with other CL designs for the same age range population; poor  
55 achieved vision was identified as a key factor in multifocal CL wearers that stopped  
56 wearing contact lenses. Besides, Patel et al. suggest that the presbyopic population  
57 might be more susceptible to dryness-related comfort problems<sup>20</sup>, mainly due to  
58 decreased TF stability, eventually leading to CL discomfort and dropout.

59         The purpose of this study was to assess the performance of a new daily  
60 disposable CL material on the ocular surface of a presbyopic population. To the best of  
61 our knowledge, this is the first study reporting the clinical outcomes of a water gradient  
62 daily CL material in a presbyopic population over their first day of CL wear. To achieve  
63 that goal, TF and ocular surface parameters were investigated along a day of CL wear.

64

65

66 **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

67 Forty subjects, neophyte CL wearers, were recruited. This prospective, nonrandomized  
68 study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the University of Valencia.  
69 Informed consent was obtained for all subjects enrolled in the study. The clinical study  
70 adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

71 Each of the subjects underwent a comprehensive ophthalmic examination,  
72 which included (in the following sequence): visual acuity, monocular and binocular  
73 refraction, anterior segment slit lamp biomicroscopy, osmolarity, measurement of the  
74 inferior tear meniscus area (TMA), topographic examination and TBUT assessment using  
75 fluorescein.

76 The room temperature was controlled and maintained between 20 and 25 degrees  
77 Celsius; the room humidity was maintained between 35 to 40%. The same investigator  
78 carried out all measurements and subsequent data analysis. Inclusion criteria were  
79 spherical equivalent refractive error between +6.00 to -10.00D, astigmatism  $\leq 0.75D$ ,  
80 monocular corrected distance visual acuity of 0.0 logMAR or better and normal  
81 binocularity. Patients who experienced any anterior segment pathology, previous  
82 corneal surgery, corneal abnormalities, DED or any general health condition were  
83 excluded from the study.

84

85 *Slit Lamp Examination*

86 Anterior ocular assessment was performed by biomicroscopy and included bulbar  
87 conjunctiva and cornea evaluation at a magnification of 10x to 32x for the presence of  
88 active inflammation and structural changes/abnormalities of the corneal layers.

89 Anterior chamber and iris were evaluated for inflammation, eyelids for crusts and/or  
90 collarettes. Fifteen minutes after material insertion, contact lens fit quality was  
91 evaluated for centration, coverage, movement as well as push-up recovery speed.

92

### 93 *Tear Osmolarity*

94 Tear film osmolarity was measured using a laboratory-on-a-chip system (TearLab™  
95 Corp, San Diego, CA) in order to collect (using passive capillary action) and analyze the  
96 electrical impedance of a minimal (50 nL) tear sample from the infero-lateral tear  
97 meniscus. According to Foulks and al. osmolarity values below 308mOsm/L should be  
98 considered as normal<sup>21</sup>. Readings between 308 and 325 mOsm/L are representative of  
99 mild-to-moderate dry eye, and values above 325mOsm/L indicate the severe state of  
100 the disease<sup>21</sup>.

101

### 102 *Inferior Tear Meniscus Area*

103 Details of the anterior segment optical coherence tomography (AS-OCT) imaging  
104 technology have been described previously<sup>22,23</sup>. The SL SCAN-1 (Topcon, Japan) is a  
105 spectral-domain OCT integrated into a slit lamp which uses an 840 nm superluminescent  
106 diode and provides 5000 A-scans/s with an axial resolution of 8-9 μm and a lateral  
107 resolution <20 μm. This device allows images of the inferior tear meniscus to be  
108 obtained using the B-scan mode by scanning at the 6 o'clock ocular position with a cross  
109 line centered on the inferior lid edge. Measurements of the inferior tear meniscus area

110 (TMA), defined as the triangular area formed by the anterior corneal boundary, anterior  
111 boundary of the lower eyelid and anterior borderline of the tear meniscus, were  
112 performed manually using image analysis software imageJ (<http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>).

### 113 114 *Aberrations Analysis*

115 The corneal front surface wavefront aberrations derived from the Placido-based  
116 corneal topographer Atlas 9000 (software v3.0.0.39; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany)  
117 over a 6 mm central zone was assessed with a non-dilated pupil and repeated three  
118 times between 4-6 seconds after a blink<sup>24,25</sup>. The choice not to control pupil diameter  
119 was deliberate, as this study intended to assess the effect of this multifocal CL material  
120 in normal conditions of illumination, under the condition patients are usually assessed.  
121 Since the device used to quantify aberrometry is a Placido disk-based topographer, it  
122 uses the first Purkinje image which is formed on the PLTF, to calculate topographic and  
123 aberrometric values. Image capture was timed for the same time post blink for each  
124 subject, as it has been found that TF stability is achieved approximately 6 seconds after  
125 a blink and overall aberrations tend to rise for about 10 seconds after a blink<sup>25</sup>.

126

### 127 *Tear Film Breakup Time and Corneal-Conjunctival Staining Score*

128 TBUT was measured **subjectively** with a slit lamp (equipped with a blue filter) by  
129 inserting into the lower fornix a fluorescein strip moistened with one drop of a non-  
130 preserved saline solution. The strip was then removed and the patient asked to blink  
131 three times and look forward during the procedure. The average of three consecutive  
132 TBUT measurements (time between the last blink and the appearance of the first  
133 random dry spot on the corneal surface, manually timed) was then calculated. Corneal



134 staining was evaluated after TBUT under blue illumination, 3.0 minutes after fluorescein  
135 instillation. Corneal and conjunctival **subjective** assessment followed the grading  
136 scheme from Efron's scale (grades from 0-4) observed with 16x slit lamp magnification.

137 Eligible patients (based on inclusion and exclusion criteria) were fitted  
138 binocularly with multifocal CLs (Delefilcon A, Dailies Total1® Multifocal). According to  
139 manufacturers' information, Delefilcon A is a silicone-hydrogel material with a silicone  
140 core water content of 33% and a hydrogel surface water content above 80 %. Its Dk/t is  
141 159 @ -3.00D at a central thickness of 0.09 mm. Power ranges from +6.00 to -10.00 (in  
142 0.25 steps) with a base curve of 8.5 mm. All baseline measures were repeated at 20  
143 minutes and 8 hours after CL insertion.

144

#### 145 *Statistical Analysis*

146 Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Science  
147 software (Version 17.0, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Only right eye data was analyzed to  
148 avoid bias due to the similarities between the eyes of an individual. Friedman's  
149 nonparametric statistical test was used to detect differences over time of TMA,  
150 osmolarity and aberrations as they were not normally distributed. The Sign test was  
151 used to compare related intergroups for ordinal parameters (conjunctival and corneal  
152 staining), whereas a related samples average *t* test was used in the intergroup  
153 parameters with normal distribution (TBUT). Differences were considered statistically  
154 significant at  $p \leq 0.05$ .

155 **RESULTS**

156 The average age of the participants was  $50.0 \pm 4.4$  years, ranging between 41 and 60  
157 years old. Mean spherical equivalent refractive error was  $+1.11 \pm 0.35$  D and ranged from  
158  $-4.25$  to  $+2.50$  D. From the 40 eyes included, 18 were myopic (mean spherical equivalent  
159 error  $-2.80 \pm 0.72$  D) and 22 hypermetropic ( $+0.90 \pm 0.24$  D). Mean values and standard  
160 deviations of the parameters assessed at each visit over the day are presented in table  
161 1. Osmolarity showed significant changes between baseline ( $306.93 \pm 2.32$  mOsm/L) and  
162 20 minutes ( $312.43 \pm 2.42$  mOsm/L) ( $P=0.02$ ) (Figure 1). No statistically significant  
163 changes were found between baseline ( $306.93 \pm 2.32$  mOsm/L) and 8 hours ( $310.40 \pm 2.26$   
164 mOsm/L) ( $P=0.09$ ). TMA values diminished across the day (from  $0.020 \pm 0.003$  mm<sup>2</sup> to  
165  $0.017 \pm 0.03$  mm<sup>2</sup>) ( $P=0.061$ ), but was not statistically significant.

166 Figure 2 displays aberrometric root mean square (RMS) data before CL adaptation at 20  
167 minutes and 8 hours after CL insertion. Ocular surface higher order RMS aberrations  
168 showed a statistically significant increase between baseline ( $0.38 \pm 0.21$  μm) and 20  
169 minutes ( $0.61 \pm 0.44$  μm) ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) and between baseline and 8 hours ( $0.64 \pm 0.41$  μm)  
170 ( $P \leq 0.001$ ). No statistically significant changes were found between 20 minutes  
171 ( $0.61 \pm 0.44$  μm) and 8 hours ( $0.64 \pm 0.41$  μm) ( $P=0.711$ ). TBUT worsened by the end of the  
172 day from  $10.4 \pm 0.4$  seconds at baseline to  $9.0 \pm 0.3$  seconds after 8 hours of CL wear  
173 ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Figure3). No statistically significant differences were found between the  
174 measurements at baseline, and after 8 hours of CL wear regarding fluorescein corneal  
175 ( $P=0.727$ ) and conjunctival staining ( $P=0.092$ ).

176

177

178 **DISCUSSION**

179           A healthy tear film is a key factor in order to maintain a functional and efficient  
180 ocular surface. Ocular dryness and discomfort represent the main complaints in CL  
181 wearers<sup>16-18,26</sup>; CL discomfort (CLD) (24%) and dryness (20%) being the primary reasons  
182 for discontinuation<sup>16,17,19</sup>. According to Dumbleton et al., “discomfort” is the most  
183 frequently cited reason for CL dropout<sup>17</sup>, but its precise meaning to the individuals is  
184 more complex to assess. Indeed, the terms dry eye and CL discomfort closely interlace,  
185 since a patient that presents signs and symptoms of dry eye has more propensity to  
186 have CL discomfort when fitted with CLs<sup>27</sup>.

187           Tear hyperosmolarity is a key mechanism of ocular surface inflammation leading  
188 to dry eye clinical features<sup>28,29</sup>. Environment, CL materials and parameters, and TF  
189 factors such as stability have been described as triggers for the rise of osmolarity<sup>30-32</sup>. TF  
190 stability is altered by CL wear regardless of the lens type as CLs induce changes in TF  
191 structure, creating a PLTF and a postlens TF, that is, new interfaces within the ocular  
192 environment<sup>15</sup>. PLTF is mainly responsible for the hydration and wettability of the CL  
193 front surface, facilitating the interaction with palpebral conjunctiva, by reducing friction  
194 forces and hence providing a smooth optical surface<sup>33,34</sup>. PLTF instability can be found in  
195 hydrogel high water content and thin CLs, leading to a rise of osmolarity, since it has  
196 been suggested that this type of lens can dehydrate easily partly due to its elevated  
197 water content<sup>31,35</sup>.

198           Previous studies demonstrated that refractive index (RI) of a CL material and its  
199 water content are closely related, showing the interest of evaluating RI to assess lens  
200 water content<sup>36</sup>. Delefilcon A provides a water gradient and a surface water content

201 corresponding to a high-water content hydrogel material, and as such, it may be  
202 expected to induce a rise in osmolarity values when fitted, due to partial dehydration of  
203 the outermost part of the CL material. This hypothesis seems robust since in Schafer et  
204 al. study, an index change was found to occur on the CL surface after 15 minutes of lens  
205 wear, shifting from a high-water content RI to a level compatible with a low water  
206 content material RI<sup>37</sup>. However, Iskander et al.<sup>38</sup> found that this water gradient material  
207 provided a better end of the day TF surface quality (TFSQ) than a high-water content  
208 hydrogel material. This finding implies that the rate of superficial dehydration of this  
209 material is lower than other CLs<sup>38</sup>.

210 Previous studies of existing, largely young, CL wearers reported significant rises  
211 in tear osmolarity in CL wearers during the time of use<sup>39-41</sup>. Iskeleli et al. found that  
212 monthly hydrogel soft CLs induced a raise in osmolarity values between 1-2 hours after  
213 insertion<sup>40</sup>. Sarac et al. evaluated osmolarity with daily wear silicone-hydrogel CLs over  
214 the course of a day and found a rise in tear osmolarity after 4 hours of CL wear, followed  
215 by an insignificant reduction in osmolarity values at the end of the day<sup>41</sup>. These results  
216 are in agreement with the present study. Indeed, statistically significant differences have  
217 been found between baseline and 20 minutes showing that an increase in osmolarity  
218 values occurs even sooner than evaluated before; while over the course of the day a  
219 reduction in tear osmolarity values could be observed, although not statistically  
220 significant, but consistent with the findings of Sarac and al<sup>41</sup>.

221 According to Nichols et al. the on-eye CL sits in and not on the TF<sup>34</sup>; CLs are many  
222 times thicker than the TF so its insertion is expected to induce perturbation to the ocular  
223 surface as noted earlier<sup>42</sup>. Furthermore, CL interaction with the eyelid and cornea can

224 modify tear composition and electrolytes levels, as shown by Tighe and al.<sup>43</sup>. The  
225 hypothesis explored in the present study was that CL initially disturbs the newly formed  
226 PLTF (by inducing reflex tearing), leading to decreased TF stability and increased  
227 evaporation, resulting in elevated tear osmolarity values at 20 minutes. Besides, it is  
228 speculated that increases in osmolarity at 20 minutes might also be partly due to both  
229 an ocular surface response to CL insertion, and an individual tear interaction with the CL  
230 material.

231         At the end of the day (i.e after 8 hours of CL wear), osmolarity values were lower  
232 than those obtained at 20 minutes, but did not reach the baseline level. Furthermore,  
233 both values obtained at 20 minutes and after 8 hours of CL wear were higher than the  
234 cut-off value of 308mOsm/L, which, according to Foulks, can be considered as a mild  
235 form of dry eye<sup>21</sup>.

236         It is important to emphasize that no significant changes were found regarding  
237 corneal or conjunctival staining by the end of the day, which means that even if  
238 osmolarity was above cut-off values, it was not clinically significant since there was no  
239 significant cellular damage. Osmolarity values did not change over the time of wear,  
240 which may imply that CL surface properties remain rather stable during the 8 hours of  
241 CL wear and provide enough oxygen transmission and lubrication to the ocular surface  
242 in order not to induce any additional staining. However, if the osmolarity changes occur  
243 in a similar pattern over longer-term wear, corneal integrity could well be compromised.

244         It is known that tear hyperosmolarity induces epithelial cell hyperosmolarity<sup>44-</sup>  
245 <sup>46</sup>, leading to intracellular activation involving MAP Kinase and NFκB pathways and  
246 therefore liberation of pro-inflammatory cytokines, which eventually induce epithelial

247 cell apoptosis<sup>44-46</sup>. Further investigation is needed in order to assess the rise in  
248 osmolarity values from baseline and the duration of this elevation that could trigger an  
249 inflammatory response from the ocular surface, leading to cellular apoptosis and the  
250 corresponding positive vital dye staining.

251 Tear meniscus can be defined as the accumulation of tears between the lid  
252 margin and the bulbar conjunctiva; it is present on both superior and inferior eyelids<sup>47,48</sup>.  
253 It is believed that tear meniscus contains 75%-90% of the total volume of the TF<sup>47</sup>, which  
254 makes it a useful clinical parameter to assess TF volume and its possible changes over  
255 time. AS-OCT is a useful device for *in vivo* non-invasive quantification of tear meniscus  
256 parameters, with<sup>48,49</sup> or without CLs<sup>50,51</sup>. Czajkowski et al. showed that AS-OCT presents  
257 sensitivity and specificity for dry eye diagnosis of 86.1% and 85.3% for TMA and a strong  
258 positive correlation to tear meniscus height ( $r=0.763$ ,  $p<0.0001$ ), making this device a  
259 valuable tool for diagnosis and follow-up of patients with dry eye disease<sup>52</sup>.

260 In the present study, TMA values did not show significant changes across the day.  
261 It suggests that short-term CL wear may have limited impact on tear meniscus  
262 parameters in a non-dry eye presbyopic population, which is in agreement with Wang  
263 et al. work on the influence of CL wear on upper and lower meniscus in a normal young  
264 adult population<sup>53</sup>. Chen et al. evaluated CL wearers with self-reported dryness,  
265 asymptomatic wearers and asymptomatic non lens wearers<sup>51</sup>. No significant statistical  
266 changes were found between baseline and after 30 minutes for the asymptomatic  
267 wearers, which is in agreement with the results obtained in this study. According to our  
268 results, it seems very likely that CL insertion induces reflex lacrimation responsible for  
269 an immediate increase of tear volume and decreased TF stability, but it tends to return

270 back to normal values by 20 minutes after CL lens insertion. PLTF quality mainly relies  
271 on surface wettability and the water content of CL materials<sup>54,55</sup>. In this study, no  
272 difference was found at the end of the day in comparison to baseline, even if TMA  
273 diminished over the day, which suggests that PLTF surface quality remained stable over  
274 time. Higher-order aberrations are believed to contribute up to seven percent of retinal  
275 image quality<sup>56,57</sup>. The main difference between a perfect wavefront and the one  
276 displayed by the human eye mainly is due to higher order aberrations, more precisely  
277 third order coma-like and fourth-order spherical aberrations<sup>58-60</sup>. It is known that the  
278 effect of coma and spherical aberrations is pupil dependent, the greater the pupil size,  
279 the greater the aberrations and the depreciation of the final retinal image<sup>61</sup>.

280 In this study, the CL geometric characteristics were a front and back surface  
281 aspheric center-near multifocal design, which is expected to induce a certain amount of  
282 spherical aberration<sup>62</sup>. Moreover, decentration of a CL on the eye due to eye movement  
283 or to the lag in the replacement of the CL after blink are expected to induce coma-like  
284 aberrations proportional to the amount of decentration from the visual axis<sup>61,63,64</sup>. For  
285 these reasons it was decided to only assess ocular surface high order RMS of coma-like  
286 and spherical aberration in this study. Data were converted into RMS values for spherical  
287 aberrations and coma combined<sup>61,65</sup> in order to follow-up changes of the total RMS over  
288 time and to assess the influence of the CL insertion over this parameter.

289 A statistical significant increase in ocular surface higher order RMS was found  
290 between baseline and 20 minutes, i.e from the CL insertion. In the majority of  
291 participants, the set of ocular surface higher order RMS increased 20 minutes after CL  
292 insertion, but remained stable over the day; no significant difference was found

293 between 20 minutes and 8 hours of CL wear. This could be explained by the behaviour  
294 of the lens on the eye, remaining stable throughout the day, and the time the lens took  
295 to centre after a blink, which was approximately the same at 20 minutes and 8 hours,  
296 thus obtaining similar aberrations values for all participants.

297 Tear quality, stability and dynamics play a key role in optical performance of CLs  
298 <sup>25,66,67</sup>. Indeed, local variation of PLTF thickness influences the amount of ocular  
299 aberrations being measured<sup>68</sup>. DED, according to its severity, is also known to induce a  
300 significant rise in aberrations, so the fact that corneal high order RMS remained rather  
301 stable during the day may imply that the pre-lens TFSQ and dynamics were minimally  
302 impacted over the course of the day.

303 TBUT is one of the clinical methods used to assess compromised tear film stability  
304 <sup>69</sup>. In the present study, a significant decrease in TBUT was found between baseline and  
305 8 hours of wear. This decrease in TF stability was an expected outcome, since TF  
306 structure is altered by CL (increased evaporation and perturbation in TF spreading<sup>15-18</sup>).  
307 Since measurement was carried out just after CL removal, it was expected that complete  
308 recovery of the TF would not yet have been achieved at that moment. So, even if a  
309 statistical decrease in TBUT was evidenced, it is unlikely to have any clinical significance.  
310 Fluorescein dye is not the first option to assess TF stability (since its efficiency relies on  
311 a controlled amount of fluorescein instilled and on the practitioner's experience to  
312 detect the first dry spot on corneal surface), as objective, non-invasive methods are now  
313 available<sup>70</sup>. The topographer used in the current study was the Atlas 9000, even if based  
314 on Placido disks, does not include in the software an automatic delimitation of the BUT.



315 Instead the TFOS DEWS II standardized methodology for use of fluorescein to assess  
316 **subjectively** tear film stability was adopted<sup>70</sup> using a single investigator applying the  
317 strip onto the inferior conjunctiva to ensure minimal variability and give reproducible  
318 results. **The subjective assessment of TBUT and vital staining, as discussed before, could**  
319 **be limitations of the study along with the time between visits that was not masked to**  
320 **the investigator and could have influenced the results.** Duration of wear might be  
321 **another** limitation of the current study as previous works reported a longer average time  
322 of wear with up to 25% of the patients wearing their lenses up to 16 hours<sup>71,72</sup>. The  
323 duration evaluated in this study is more in agreement with a recreational wear including  
324 hobbies or social activities<sup>73,74</sup>, which gives valuable information, but does not represent  
325 a typical day for usual CL wearers.

326

## 327 **CONCLUSIONS**

328 This study reports the clinical performance of a water gradient daily disposable soft CL  
329 on the ocular surface and the TF in a neophyte presbyopic population over their first 8  
330 hours of wear. CL insertion induces an initial decrease in TF stability observed by  
331 osmolarity values rising after 20 minutes of wear, but it did not impact tear meniscus  
332 metrics and seemed to be transitory, as a decrease, without reaching baseline values,  
333 occurred by the end of the wearing period. Ocular surface aberrations remained largely  
334 stable from CL insertion, demonstrating an even repartition of TF over the CL material  
335 surface.

336

337 **REFERENCES**

- 338 1.- Stern ME, Gao J, Siemasko KF et al. The role of the lacrimal functional unit in the  
339 pathophysiology of dry eye. *Exp Eye Res* 2004 ;78 :409-16.
- 340 2.- Paulsen F. The human nasolacrimal ducts. *Adv Anat Embryol Cell Biol* 2003 ;170 (III XI)  
341 :1-106.
- 342 3.- Bron AJ, de Paiva CS, Chauhan, SK, et al. TFOS DEWS II pathophysiology report. *The*  
343 *ocular surface* 2017; 15:438-510.
- 344 4.- Chao W, Belmonte C, Benitez del Castillo JM, et al. Report of the Inaugural Meeting  
345 of the TFOS i2 = initiating innovation Series: Targeting the Unmet Need for Dry Eye  
346 Treatment. *The Ocular Surface* 2016;14:264-316.
- 347 5.- Rocha EM, Alves M, Rios JD et al. The aging lacrimal gland: changes in structure and  
348 function. *The Ocular Surface* 2008; 6:162-174.
- 349 6.- Patel S, Farrell JC. Age-related changes in precorneal tear film stability. *Optom Vis Sci*  
350 1989; 66:175–8.
- 351 7.- Zhu W, Hong J, Zheng T, et al. Age-related changes of human conjunctiva on in vivo  
352 confocal microscopy. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2010; 94: 1448–53.
- 353 8.- Schein OD, Munoz B, Tielsch JM, et al. Prevalence of dry eye among the elderly. *Am*  
354 *J Ophtalmol* 1997; 124:723-8.
- 355 9.- McCarty CA, Bansal AK, Livingston PM, et al. The epidemiology of dry eye in  
356 Melbourne, Australia. *Ophtalmology* 1998; 105:1114-9.

- 357 10.- Doughty MJ, Fonn D, Richter D, et al. A patient questionnaire approach to estimating  
358 the prevalence of dry eye symptoms in patients presenting to optometric practices  
359 across Canada. *Optom Vis Sci* 1997; 74:624-31.
- 360 11.- Stapleton F, Alves M, Bunya VY, et al. TFOS DEWS II Epidemiology Report. The ocular  
361 surface 2017; 15:334-365.
- 362 12.- Sharma A, Hindman HB. Aging: a predisposition to dry eyes. *J Ophthalmol* 2014;  
363 2014:781683.
- 364 13.- Du Toit R, Situ P, Simpson T et al. The effects of six months of contact lens wear on  
365 the tear film, ocular surfaces, and symptoms of presbyopes. *Optom. Vis. Sci* 2001;  
366 78:455–462.
- 367 14.- Bennett ES. Contact lens correction of presbyopia. *Clin Exp Optom* 2008; 91:265-  
368 278.
- 369 15.- Glasson MJ, Stapleton F, Keay L et al. The effect of short term contact lens wear on  
370 the tear film and ocular surface characteristics of tolerant and intolerant wearers. *Cont*  
371 *Lens Ant Eye* 2006; 29:41-47.
- 372 16.- Pritchard N, Fonn D, Brazeau D. Discontinuation of contact lens wear: a survey. *Int*  
373 *Contact Lens Clin* 1999; 26:157-162.
- 374 17.- Dumbleton K, Woods CA, Jones LW, et al. The impact of contemporary contact  
375 lenses on contact lens discontinuation. *Eye Contact Lens* 2013; 39: 92–98.
- 376 18.- Richdale K, Sinnott LT, Skadahl E, et al. Frequency of and factors associated with  
377 contact lens dissatisfaction and discontinuation. *Cornea* 2007; 26:168–74.

- 378 19.- Sulley A, Young G, Hunt C. Factors in the success of new contact lens wearers.  
379 Contact Lens and Anterior Eye 2017; 40:15-24.
- 380 20.- Patel S, Boyd KE, Burns J. Age, stability of the precorneal tear film and the refractive  
381 index of tears. Cont Lens Anterior Eye 2000; 23: 44–7.
- 382 21.- Foulks GN, Lemp MA, Berg M, et al. TearLab osmolarity as a biomarker for disease  
383 severity in mild to moderate dry eye disease. American Academy of Ophthalmology  
384 PO382, 2009.
- 385 22.- Izatt JA, Hee MR, Swanson EA, et al. Micrometer-scale resolution imaging of the  
386 anterior eye in vivo with optical coherence tomography. Arch Ophthalmol 1994;  
387 112:1584-9 11.
- 388 23.- Radhakrishnan S, Rollins AM, Roth JE, et al. Real-time optical coherence tomography  
389 of the anterior segment at 1310 nm. Arch Ophthalmol 2001; 119:1179-85.
- 390 24.- Montés-Micó R, Alió JL, Muñoz G, et al. Postblink changes in total and corneal ocular  
391 aberrations. Ophthalmology 2004; 111:758–767.
- 392 25.- Montés-Micó R, Alió JL, Muñoz G, et al. Temporal changes in optical quality of air  
393 tear film interface at anterior cornea after blink. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2004; 45:  
394 1752–1757.
- 395 26.- Young G, Veys J, Pritchard N, et al. A multi-centre study of lapsed contact lens  
396 wearers. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt 2002; 22: 516–527.
- 397 27.- Begley CG, Chalmers RL, Mitchell GL. Characterization of ocular surface symptoms  
398 from optometric practices in North America. Cornea 2001; 20: 610–618.

399 28.- Suzuki M, Massingale ML, Ye F, et al. Tear osmolarity as a biomarker for dry eye  
400 disease severity. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2010; 51: 4557–4561.

401 29.- Sullivan BD, Whitmer D, Nichols KK, et al. An objective approach to dry eye disease  
402 severity. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2010; 51:6125– 6130.

403 30.- Nichols JJ, Sinnott LT. Tear film, contact lens, and patient-related factors associated  
404 with contact lens-related dry eye. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2006; 47:1319–1328.

405 31.- González-Méijome JM, López-Aleman A, Almeida JB, et al. Dynamic in vitro  
406 dehydration patterns of unworn and worn silicone hydrogel contact lenses. *J Biomed*  
407 *Mater Res B: Appl Biomater* 2009; 90:250-258.

408 32.- Gilbard JP, Gray KL, Rossi SR. A proposed mechanism for increased tear-film  
409 osmolarity in contact lens wearers. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1986; 102:505-507.

410 33.- Koh S, Higashiura R, Maeda N. Overview of Objective Methods for Assessing  
411 Dynamic Changes in Optical Quality. *Eye Contact Lens* 2016; 42: 333-338.

412 34.- Nichols JJ, King-Smith PE. Thickness of the pre- and post-contact lens tear film  
413 measured in vivo by interferometry. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2003; 44:68–77.

414 35.- Ramamoorthy P Sinnott LT, Nichols JJ. Contact lens material characteristics  
415 associated with hydrogel lens dehydration. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2010; 30: 160–166.

416 36.- Brennan NA. A simple instrument for measuring the water content of hydrogel  
417 lenses. *Int Contact Lens Clin* 1983; 10:357–361.

418 37.- Schafer J, Steffen R, Reindel W, et al. Evaluation of surface water characteristics of  
419 novel daily disposable contact lenses using refractive index shifts after wear. *Clin*  
420 *Ophthalmol* 2015; 9:1973-9.

421 38.- Szczesna-Iskander D. Comparison of tear film surface quality measured in vivo on  
422 water gradient silicone hydrogel and hydrogel contact lenses. *Eye Contact Lens* 2014;40:  
423 23–7.

424 39.- Farris RL. Tear analysis in contact lens wearers. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc* 1985;  
425 83:501–545.

426 40.- Iskeleli G, Karakoc Y, Aydin O, et al. Comparison of tear-film osmolarity in different  
427 types of contact lenses. *CLAO J* 2002; 28:174–176.

428 41.- Sarac O, Gurdal C, Bostanci-Ceran B, Can I. Comparison of tear osmolarity and ocular  
429 comfort between daily disposable contact lenses: hilafilcon B hydrogel versus narafilcon  
430 A silicone hydrogel. *Int Ophthalmol* 2012; 32: 229–233.

431 42.- Mann A, Tighe BJ. Contact lens interactions with the tear film. *Exp. Eye Res* 2013,  
432 117, 88–98.

433 43.- Tighe BJ. A decade of silicone hydrogel development: surface properties,  
434 mechanical properties, and ocular compatibility. *Eye Contact Lens* 2013; 39:4–12.

435 44.- Downie LE, Keller PR. A pragmatic approach to dry eye diagnosis: evidence into  
436 practice. *Optom Vis Sci* 2015; 92:1189-97.

437 45.- Li DQ, Chen Z, Song XJ. Stimulation of matrix metalloproteinases by hyperosmolarity  
438 via a JNK pathway in human corneal epithelial cells. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2004; 45:  
439 4302-11.

440 46.- Luo L, Li DQ, Pflugfelder SC. Hyperosmolarity –induced apoptosis in human corneal  
441 epithelial cells is mediated by cytochrome c and MAPK pathways. *Cornea* 2007; 26:452-  
442 60.

443 47.- Holly FJ. Physical chemistry of the normal and disordered tear film. *Trans Ophthalmol*  
444 *Soc UK* 1985; 104:374-80.

445 48.- Garcia-Lázaro S, Madrid-Costa D, Ferrer-Blasco T, et al. OCT for assessing artificial  
446 tears effectiveness in contact lens wearers. *Optom Vis Sci* 2012; 89:E62-9.

447 49.- Del Águila-Carrasco AJ, Ferrer-Blasco T, García-Lázaro S, et al. Assessment of corneal  
448 thickness and tear meniscus during contact-lens wear. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye* 2015;  
449 38:185-93.

450 50.- Wang J, Aquavella J, Palakuru J, et al. Relationships between central tear film  
451 thickness and tear menisci of the upper and lower eyelids. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*  
452 2006; 47:4349-4355.

453 51.- Chen Q, Wang J, Shen M, et al. Lower volumes of tear menisci in contact lens wearers  
454 with dry eye symptoms. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2009;50:3159-3163.

455 52.- Czajkowski G, Kaluzny BJ, Laudenska A, et al. Tear meniscus measurement by spectral  
456 optical coherence tomography. *Optom Vis Sci* 2012; 89: 336– 342.

457 53.- Wang J, Cox I, Reindel WT. Upper and lower tear menisci on contact lenses. *Invest*  
458 *Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2009;50:1106-1111.

459 54.- Tonge S, Jones L, Goodall S, et al. The ex vivo wettability of soft contact lenses. *Curr*  
460 *Eye Res* 2001; 23:51-59.

461 55.- Jones L, May C, Nazar L. In vitro evaluation of the dehydration characteristics of  
462 silicone hydrogel and conventional hydrogel contact lens materials. *Cont Lens Ant Eye*  
463 2002; 25:147-156.

464 56.- Porter J, Guirao A, Cox IG, et al. Monochromatic aberrations of the human eye in a  
465 large population. *J Opt Soc Am A Opt Image Sci Vis* 2001; 18: 1793–1803

466 57.- Guirao A, Porter J, Williams DR, et al. Calculated impact of higher-order  
467 monochromatic aberrations on retinal image quality in a population of human eye. *J Opt*  
468 *Soc Am A Opt Image Sci Vis* 2002; 19: 620–628.

469 58.- Charman WN. Aberrations and myopia. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2005; 25: 285–301.

470 59.- Thibos LN, Bradley A, Hong X. A statistical model of the aberration structure of  
471 normal, well-corrected eyes. *J Opt Soc Am A Opt Image Sci Vis* 2002; 19: 2329–2348.

472 60.- Thibos LN, Hong X, Bradley A, et al. Statistical variation of aberration structure and  
473 image quality in a normal population of healthy eyes. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2002; 22:  
474 427–433.

475 61.- Patel S, Fakhry M, Alió JM. Objective assessment of aberrations induced by  
476 multifocal contact lenses in vivo. *Eye & Contact Lens*, 2002;28:196-201.

477 62.- Peyre C, Fumery L, Gatinel D. Comparison of high-order optical aberrations induced  
478 by different multifocal contact lens geometries. *Journal Francais d'Ophtalmologie*.2005;  
479 28: 599-604.

480 63.- Kollbaum PS, Jansen ME, Rickert ME. Comparison of patient-reported visual  
481 outcome methods to quantify the perceptual effects of defocus. *Contact Lens and*  
482 *Anterior Eye* 2011.

483 64.- Gatti RF, Lipener C. Optical performance of different soft contact lenses based on  
484 wavefront analysis. *Arq Bras Oftalmol* 2008; 71:42–6.



485 65.- Gatinel D. Aberrations monochromatiques de haut degré : définition et  
486 conséquence sur la fonction visuelle. In: Gatinel D, Hoang-Xuan T: « Le LASIK, de la  
487 théorie à la pratique », 2003, pp151-159, Elsevier, Paris.

488 66.- Erdélyi B, Csákány B, Rödönyi G, et al. Dynamics of ocular surface topography in  
489 healthy subjects. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2006; 26: 419–425.

490 67.- Zhu M, Collins MJ, Iskander DR. Dynamics of ocular surface topography. *Eye* 2007;  
491 21:624–632.

492 68.- Rae SM, Price HC. The effect of soft contact lens wear and time from blink on  
493 wavefront aberration measurement variation. *Clin Exp Optom* 2009; 92:274–282.

494 69.- Pflugfelder SC, Tseng SCG, Sanabria O, et al. Evaluation of subjective assessments  
495 and objective diagnostic tests for diagnosing tear-film disorders known to cause ocular  
496 irritation. *Cornea* 1998; 17: 38–56.

497 70.- Wolffsohn JS., Arita R, Chalmers R, et al. TFOS DEWS II diagnostic methodology  
498 report. *The ocular surface* 2017; 15:539-574

499 71.- Riley C, Young G, Chalmers R. Prevalence of ocular surface symptoms, signs, and  
500 uncomfortable hours of wear in contact lens wearers: the effect of refitting with  
501 dailywear silicone hydrogel lenses (senofilcon A). *Eye Contact Lens* 2006; 32:281Y6

502 72.- Long B, McNally J. The clinical performance of a silicone hydrogel lens for daily wear  
503 in an Asian population. *Eye Contact Lens* 2006; 32:65Y71

504 73.- Riley C, Chalmers RL, Pence N. The impact of lens choice in the relief of contact lens  
505 related symptoms and ocular surface findings. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye* 2005; 28:13Y9 75.

506 74.- Wolffsohn JS, Mroczkowska S, Hunt, OA. Crossover evaluation of silicone hydrogel  
507 daily disposable contact lenses. Optometry & Vision Science, 2015 ;92:1063-1068.

508

509

510 **Table 1:** Comparison of the objective measurements of the non-previous CL wearers at  
511 the initial visit ( $t_0$ ), 20 minutes ( $t_1$ ) and 8 hours ( $t_2$ ) after CL insertion (mean  $\pm$  SD). TMA:  
512 tear meniscus area; TBUT: tear break-up time.

513

#### 514 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

515 **Figure 1.** Box-plot of osmolarity at baseline, 20 minutes and 8 hours of CI wear. Medians  
516 are shown for each plot, quartiles are shown as boxes, ranges as whiskers and outliers  
517 as dots.

518

519 **Figure 2.** Box-plot of RMS at baseline, 20 minutes and 8 hours of CI wear. Medians are  
520 shown for each plot, quartiles are shown as boxes, ranges as whiskers and outliers as  
521 dots.

522

523 **Figure 3.** Box-plot of TBUT at baseline, 20 minutes and 8 hours of CI wear. Medians are  
524 shown for each plot, quartiles are shown as boxes, ranges as whiskers and outliers as  
525 dots.

526



**TABLES**

**Table 1:** Comparison of the objective measurements of the non-previous CL wearers at the initial visit ( $t_0$ ), 20 minutes ( $t_1$ ) and 8 hours ( $t_2$ ) after CL insertion (mean  $\pm$  SD). TMA: tear meniscus area; TBUT: tear break-up time.

	<b>Baseline (<math>t_0</math>)</b>	<b>At 20 minutes (<math>t_1</math>)</b>	<b>At 8 hours (<math>t_2</math>)</b>	<b>P value</b>
<b>Aberrations (<math>\mu\text{m}</math>)</b>	0.38 $\pm$ 0.21	0.61 $\pm$ 0.04	0.64 $\pm$ 0.41	( $t_0$ )/ ( $t_1$ ) P< 0.01 ( $t_0$ )/( $t_2$ ) P< 0.01 ( $t_1$ )/( $t_2$ ) P=0.71
<b>Osmolarity (mOsm/L)</b>	306.93 $\pm$ 2.32	312.43 $\pm$ 2.42	310.40 $\pm$ 2.26	( $t_0$ )/ ( $t_1$ ) P=0.02 ( $t_0$ )/( $t_2$ ) P=0.09 ( $t_1$ )/( $t_2$ ) P=0.71
<b>TMA (<math>\text{mm}^2</math>)</b>	0.020 $\pm$ 0.003	0.019 $\pm$ 0.002	0.017 $\pm$ 0.003	P=0.061
<b>TBUT (s)</b>	10.4 $\pm$ 0.4	-	9.0 $\pm$ 0.3	P <0.01





