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Cover: Detail of a ground-bone cutting from a surface in Cambridge, England, excavated by the Late Iron Age Research Project by the Cambridge Archaeological Unit.
restricted to buried remains or fragmentary structures. Intellectually there is a continuum from prehistoric rock art to the architecture of the Modern Movement and beyond, which can be studied using the complex of disciplines and techniques known collectively as 'archaeology'. The protection and conservation of this vast body of material evidence, of which monuments form a substantial subset, has been the subject of increasingly intensive study and discussion from the Renaissance onwards. It began with humanist scholars and artists in Rome, notably Raphael, who had learned to appreciate the aesthetic qualities of classical art and architecture and interfered with the papacy to prevent the destruction of what had survived. The Enlightenment saw the evolution of cultural paradigms which were to lay the foundations for modern conservation principles and practices. This was a period when archaeology was in its infancy and the high ground of conservation was seized by architects who evolved principles that have tended to dominate the field without reference to archaeologists. Despite the title of this book, therefore, it is of considerable relevance to those whose concern is principally with the archaeological heritage, however narrowly defined.

In its earlier chapters, Rome appears large, since it was here that pioneers such as Johann Joachim Winckelmann and Antonio Canova began to develop a systematic approach to its wealth of classical monuments. Rome attracted scholars and architects from other parts of Italy and Europe who took their theories and practices back to their homelands, notably to England, France, Prussia and other German states in the eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries. Not surprisingly, the strength of property ownership under its common law meant that England was slower than France or Prussia to adopt the concept of an overriding state interest in the protection and conservation of its monuments. The Italian approach was based primarily on its rich classical heritage, but late eighteenth century England and Germany witnessed the growth of Romanticism, in some measure a reaction against the Age of Reason, and with it the recognition of the cultural values of Gothic architecture. In his famous essay, Von deutscher Baukunst, Goethe identified the architecture of the Middle Ages as a manifestation of the German spirit which was to have a profound influence on the trajectory of conservation in Germany led by Karl-Friedrich Schinkel. This movement also played an important role in Rome, where Eugène Emmanuel Viollet-le-Duc was to establish a tradition with his work at Carcassonne, Pierrefonds, Notre-Dame de Paris and elsewhere.

The mid-nineteenth century saw a debate raging that was as fierce as that created by the publication of The Origin of Species. On the one hand were the advocates of Viollet-le-Duc's approach of systematic restoration (known to its detractors as 'destructive restoration') and, on the other, those led by John Ruskin and William Morris, founder of the Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings (SPAB), for whom the historicity of monuments as documents was a concern. The SPAB's philosophy gradually prevailed, its influence spreading to other parts of Europe, and it continues to influence modern conservation principles and practices. The present century has seen intensive theoretical activity in this field, with notable contributions from Alois Riegler and Cesare Brandi, which Jokilehto synthesizes admirably. It is worthy of note, perhaps, that Brandi somewhat contemptuously rejects the 'archaeological' approach, involving the preservation of every element of a monument, in favour of concentration on its aesthetic qualities.

This excellent book, which complements Aatin Schnapp's equally admirable history, Le conte du passé, is based on a DPhil thesis at the University of York which looked at 'The Contribution of English, French, German and Italian Thought Towards an International Approach to the Conservation of Cultural Property', and, as a result, developments in other countries are dealt with in the penultimate chapter. The perceptions of monuments and the consequent attitudes to conservation in the Far East, and in particular in Japan, represent a completely different cultural tradition with its own validity which would be interesting to set alongside that which developed in Europe and the Americas.

It is also to be regretted that more space is not devoted to reconstruction of individual components of archaeological sites, although there are brief comments on controversial reconstructions such as those at Knossos, Babylon, Chan Chan, Nara, and Ephesus. On a larger scale, the postwar reconstructions of the Old City of Warsaw (prehistory) is an example. It is somewhat surprisingly - no more than a passing nod of approval. The reconstruction of Colonial Williamsburg is dealt with at some considerable length, but it still leaves the reader wanting more from an author whose knowledge of his subject and experience are unequalled. But these are minor quibbles: the book itself is an outstanding piece of work which provides a deep historical perspective on a subject that is of immense relevance to everyone concerned with the heritage.

Henry Cleere
International Council on Monuments and Sites
Paris, France


Beyond Art is a very welcome volume about one of the most discussed themes in prehistory. The editors have put together an impressive collection of papers dealing with the 'art' of prehistoric peoples (people is used in the broad sense of prehistoric 'imagination') on a worldwide basis which gives the book an integrity that is missing in a lot of other volumes about art from a remote past. It is time for European archaeologists to realize that there are symbolic manifestations as old as the oldest cultures in every continent and that these are reflected in the rock art of the Americas. The book originates from two different symposia the editors organized in Oregon in 1993 and San Francisco in 1995 and is divided into four sections: (a) Analytical methods: from dating technologies to image making, (b) Approaches to the 'why' of presence and absence, (c) Interpretations of regional imagery in the European Palaeolithic, and (d) The interpretative process.

Although there is only one section with an explicit focus on the European regional imagery, both the historical background of the research of 'Prehistoric Art' and the editors' personal background might explain the predominance of European examples throughout the volume. O. Soffer and M. W. Conkey's introductory chapter can be seen as the core part of the book and formulates most of the questions discussed in the subsequent sections. Without any doubt, this paper should be in every reader on Rock Art' seminars. In 'Prehistoric imagery as praxis' the authors scrutinize the interpretative question of why archaeologists should avoid the term 'art' if they want to approach the significance of images and symbols from a remote past. As they state, 'to call them "art" is both misleading and limiting.' Even if some archaeologists might feel uncomfortable, we would like to put forward their conception that 'archaeological data on the issues we explore are full of ambiguity, and . . . this is to be exploited'.

The first and last section of this volume are the most balanced and useful, and therefore, will be the focus of this review. To start with, Watchman's update on archaeological issues and especially his numerous practical examples provide a clear warning about how carefully we have to consider the results of new techniques. Although his position of blaming archaeologists as a whole for misinformed and applying new scientific techniques is extreme, it seems important that he stresses the necessity of always including discussions on the politics behind the archaeological discourse.

J. Clottes' paper on 'real' techniques shows how those scientific techniques can help to better understand the very important technological procedures of painting. Therefore, his study puts some of the points made by Watchman into another context. But Clottes also stresses the importance of achieving a balance between research and preservation when applying those techniques.
Marshall's compelling proposal for the understanding of 'complex symbolic traditions' in south-west Europe and the Middle East has to be seen against the background of the complex academic traditions in this eurocentric discipline. Nevertheless, his interpretation goes well beyond the classic studies of Pleistocene imagery and opens up various avenues for future research in accepting the ambiguity of the archaeological data.

In the last paper of the first section, R. White discusses the substantiality in upper Palaeolithic representations and makes a good case - based on evidence from Grimaldi - for considering other aspects behind the aesthetic contemplation such as the fact that the figurines are 'tactile' constructions. In addition to questioning the terminology of art, his explanation of the significance of those figurines seems to be problematic as has recently been suggested.

The final paper by M. W. Conkey is clearly one of the most valuable papers in the book. Her proposal to broaden our research focus and to not only look inside the caves is especially important. She points out that the archaeologist's own historical specificity has to be included in all studies of visual productions. For Conkey, this is more reasonable than to continue looking after lost myths, especially if we will be able to ground our research in both empirical depth and imaginative power. Therefore, archaeology and the interpretation of early 'art' is always provisional.

Agustín Díez Castillo
Archaeological Research Facility
University of California at Berkeley, USA

CONFERENCE REVIEW


During this conference, which was organized by Herrmann Parzinger and Ricardo Eichmann, the heads of the Departments of Eurasian and Oriental Archaeology of the German Archaeological Institute (DAI), 30 lecturers from several countries including China, Russia, Uzbekistan, Israel, Turkey, France, Italy, Great Britain, the USA and Germany, presented and discussed new data and material from an area reaching from northern China to the Levant.

The transition from the second to the first millennium BC can be described as a period of change in large parts of the ancient world. Scholars from different schools of thought tried to highlight the interdependences between culturally diverse regions during this process. The participants discussed problems of migration and cultural transfer in Central Asia, the Near East and adjacent areas. The assumption that the movements of sometimes nomadic pastoral groups were the prime cause of cultural change was a prevailing undercurrent in the explanatory models offered at the conference. Yet some of the participants emphasized factors inherent in the cultural systems themselves as being the motor for culture change. Henri-Paul Francfort (Paris), for example, stressed the importance of local transformations of ideology in contrast to invasion as a factor for change. Theoretical discussions and attempts to classify modes of cultural exchange did not, however, play a leading role in the conference nor, as Parzinger pointed out, were they intended to. The main thrust of the proceedings was to create the empirical material and chronological basis for cultural comparisons over such a vast region.

The presentations of new finds and dates from northwestern China presented by Wu En (Peking) and Mayke Wagner (Berlin) were of outstanding interest. New radiocarbon dates between 1400 and 1000 cal. BC point to the genesis of the Siberian animal style as well as other typical steppe artifacts in this area. Undoubtedly, mobile groups of pastoralists and nomads played an important role in the cultural relations between China and Central Asia at the turn of the second to the first millennium BC. An invaluable source to characterize the people involved are the famous mummies from the province of Xinjiang (Sinkiang) in northwestern China, which were put in a wider context by recent finds from the Siberian Altai by Natalija Polos'mak (Novosibirsk). The routes of mobile people of central Asian origin reached as far as the Indian subcontinent, as rock carvings in European animal style in the Upper Indus Valley impressively demonstrate (Herald Hauptmann, Istanbul).

Parzinger introduced a new chronology for the late Bronze and early Iron Age in southern Siberia with higher dates for the Andronovo Culture. Mechanisms of change in...