Long-term effects of graduated compression stockings on cardiorespiratory performance

AUTHORS: Priego JI^{1,2}, Lucas-Cuevas AG¹, Aparicio I¹, Giménez JV¹, Cortell-Tormo JM³, Pérez-Soriano P¹

- ¹ Research Group in Sports Biomechanics (GIBD), Department of Physical Education and Sports, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain
- ² Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain
- ³ Department of General and Specific Didactics, Faculty of Education, University of Alicante, San Vicente del Raspeig Alicante, Spain

ABSTRACT: The use of graduated compression stockings (GCS) in sport has been increasing in the last years due to their potential positive effects for athletes. However, there is little evidence to support whether these types of garments actually improve cardiorespiratory performance. The aim of this study was to examine the cardiorespiratory responses of GCS during running after three weeks of regular use. Twenty recreational runners performed three tests on different days: test 1) – a 5-min maximal effort run in order to determine the participants' maximal aerobic speed; and tests 2) and 3) – a fatigue running test of 30 minutes at 80% of their maximal aerobic speed with either GCS or PLACEBO stockings at random. Cardiorespiratory parameters (minute ventilation, heart rate, relative oxygen consumption, relative carbon dioxide production, ventilatory equivalents for oxygen and carbon dioxide, and oxygen pulse) were measured. Before each test in the laboratory, the participants trained with the randomly assigned stockings (GCS or PLACEBO) for three weeks. No significant differences between GCS and PLACEBO were found in any of the cardiorespiratory parameters. In conclusion, the present study provides evidence that running with GCS for three weeks does not influence cardiorespiratory parameters in recreational runners.

CITATION: Priego JI, Lucas-Cuevas AG, Aparicio I, Giménez JV, Cortell-Tormo JM, Pérez-Soriano P. Long-term effects of graduated compression stockings on cardiorespiratory performance. Biol Sport. 2015;32(3):219–223.

Received: 2014-06-04; Reviewed: 2014-12-07; Re-submitted: 2014-12-17; Accepted: 2014-12-22; Published: 2015-04-24.

Corresponding author: Jose Ignacio Priego Quesada Postal address: GIBD. Facultat de Ciències de l'Activitat Física i l'Esport. C/ Gascó Oliag, 3. 46010. Valencia, Spain. Phone office: +34 96 3864352 Fax: +34 96 3864353 e-mail: j.priego.gibd@gmail.com

Key words: physiology respiratory parameters running oxygen consumption heart rate exercise test

INTRODUCTION

The use of compressive garments in sport is becoming very popular due to their potential positive effects on athletes [1,2]. These benefits appear to be related to circulatory improvements [3,4]. Different studies have reported a reduction in the severity of delayed onset muscle soreness [5,6], lower perception of fatigue [2], better recovery of muscle strength [7] and lower concentration of muscle damage markers in blood (creatine kinase) as a result of using compressive garments during exercise [8,9]. These results suggest that the use of compressive garments could be an effective strategy to enhance recovery [10]. However, to date there is little evidence that these garments may effectively benefit cardiorespiratory performance [11,12].

Two possible mechanisms have been proposed to explain the improvement in cardiorespiratory performance as a result of using compressive garments: the increase in venous return and the reduction of muscle oscillations. The improvement in venous blood flow and venous return may be a consequence of the pressure gradient applied by the garments [13]. This increased venous return may result in a higher cardiac output and stroke volume, and thus, in a

lower heart rate [1,3]. However, whether these garments actually influence cardiorespiratory performance remains unclear since no differences in heart rate, blood lactate and oxygen uptake with the use of compressive garments have been observed in previous studies [2,3,12,14]. A systematic review by Born, Sperlich and Holmberg [15] concluded that endurance-related parameters during continuous exercise, such as submaximal oxygen uptake, blood lactate, heart rate and cardiac output, were not influenced by compressive garments. On the other hand, some studies have suggested that the reduction of muscle oscillations may improve muscle economy and performance [16,17]. However, there is also some controversy regarding this hypothesis, since Scanlan et al. [3] did not observe any improvement in performance with the use of compressive garments, and further research is therefore needed to support this idea.

Previous studies have reported similar VO₂max and VO₂peak values during exercise of incremental intensity and during running at constant speed with and without graduated compression stockings (GCS) [14,18,19]. However, in recent studies these compressive garments have been suggested to positively influence some cardio-

respiratory parameters. In this sense, the use of GCS improved the recovery rate of oxygen saturation after exercise [20] and enhanced running performance by improving the participants' aerobic and anaerobic thresholds [18]. Moreover, the use of these garments led to a reduction in the VO₂ slow component (difference between the end and the beginning of exercise in VO₂/kg) during a running test while wearing compression tights compared to shorts and to elastic tights [17]. However, due to the discrepancies found between studies, further research analysing the influence of GCS on cardiorespiratory parameters and their potential role in running performance is needed.

The majority of the studies have analysed the effects of GCS during an acute intervention [14,18,21] and, to the author's knowledge, there is no study to date that analyses the influence of these garments after longer use. In this sense, it is known that an adequate and individualized training stimulus in terms of duration and intensity is needed in order to observe significant improvements in cardiorespiratory performance [22,23]. Previous studies have reported that a training period of three weeks is long enough to produce cardiorespiratory and metabolic adaptations [24–26] and therefore a training intervention of this duration might be the most adequate choice when analysing the effects of compressive garments on the human body for such a long period for the first time.

The aim of this study was therefore to analyse the effects of running with and without GCS for three weeks on different cardiorespiratory parameters in runners. It was hypothesized that the use of GCS for three weeks would improve the athletes' cardiorespiratory parameters (e.g. heart rate [HR], minute ventilation [VE], or relative oxygen consumption [VO₂/kg]) during running.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants. Twenty recreational runners – 13 males and 7 females (age 28.1 \pm 5.4 years, body mass 67.9 \pm 9.8 kg, height 172.7 \pm 9.2 cm, body mass index 22.7 \pm 1.8 kg·m⁻², and running mileage 37.0 \pm 9.4 km·week⁻¹) – took part in a cross-over study. All participants gave written informed consent before participation. The study procedures complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the local Ethics Committee on Human Research (no. H138256048831).

Graduated compression stockings

The GCS used in this study were below-knee stockings that provided greater pressure at the ankle (24 mmHg), which gradually dissipated at the calf (21 mmHg). These values of pressure were provided by the manufacturer. The GCS were composed of 85% polyamide and 15% elastane (Lycra). The pressure of these GCS can be considered a moderate compression, comparable with "class 2" compression used in the clinical field [27]. Following the manufacturer's guidelines, the proper fit for each participant was determined by measuring the leg circumference immediately below the knee. A pair of non-graduated compressive stockings with the same appearance and design was included in the study to offset any "placebo" effects.

Intervention

Participants performed three running tests on different days. In the first test, each participant underwent a 5-min maximal effort run on a 400-m track in order to determine their individual maximal aerobic speed (MAS) [28,29].

In the second and third tests, participants ran on a treadmill (Technogym SpA, Gambettola, Italy) so that the running speed and the characteristics of the running surface (slope, hardness) could be controlled. These two tests followed the same protocol: participants warmed up at a self-selected pace for 10 min (which also served as familiarization time on the treadmill [29,30]), and subsequently performed a 30-min run at 80% of their MAS while wearing at random either the graduated compression stockings (GCS) or the placebo stockings (PLACEBO). All cardiorespiratory variables were constantly registered throughout the run (30 min), and perception of fatigue was reported during the last minute of the running test by means of a 20-point Borg scale [31].

Prior to each laboratory test (second and third running tests), the runners trained with the randomly assigned stockings (GCS or PLA-CEBO) for three weeks. Participants were not aware of which stocking condition (GCS or PLACEBO) they were using. In order to ensure that all runners underwent a similar training load, participants were asked to train during those three weeks following some training specifications: 3-4 days · week⁻¹, with a training volume around 30 km·week⁻¹, and with an intensity of 60-80% of their maximum HR. Participants were given a training log which included the following items: i) training days per week, ii) mileage per training session, and iii) a confirmation cell for each session where the participants had to confirm that each session was performed at an intensity between 60 and 80% of their maximum HR. At the end of the second test of the study (first running test at the laboratory), participants received the second pair of stockings and a second training log. Participants were asked not to use the new assigned stockings for a week (washout week), and then they were asked to train for another 3 weeks with the new pair of stockings before returning to the laboratory for the third and last test (Figure 1).



220

Measurements

Gas exchange measurements were registered throughout the run with a portable indirect calorimeter (Cosmed K4b2, Rome, Italy). Standard calibration procedures were performed before each test including gas calibration by using a calibration gas mixture with a known composition (O_2 : 16.00% and CO_2 : 5.00%). Heart rate was also registered via a portable HR belt (Polar FT-2, Polar Electro, Kempele, Finland).

Data collection

Cardiovascular data were smoothed and a median filter over 5 breaths was applied for each test using a custom made spreadsheet in Excel (Microsoft Inc., USA). Mean values of pulmonary data from minutes 5 to 10 (V1), the last five minutes of the test (V2) and the slow component, measured as the difference between the end and the beginning of the test (V2-V1), were used for statistical analysis. The cardiorespiratory variables selected for the analyses were: minute ventilation (V_E), heart rate (HR), relative oxygen consumption (VO₂/kg), relative carbon dioxide production (VCO₂/kg), ventilatory equivalents for oxygen (V_E/VO₂) and carbon dioxide (V_E/VCO₂), and oxygen pulse (VO₂/HR).

Statistical analysis

The statistics package SPSS 21 (SPSS Statistics, IBM) was used for the statistical analysis. After checking the normality of the variables (p>0.05), a 2-way ANOVA with two repeated measure factors, moment (V1 and V2) and stocking condition (GCS and PLACEBO), was performed for the cardiorespiratory variables. Also, the effect of the stocking condition on the slow component (V2-V1) of the cardiorespiratory parameters was assessed with a 1-way repeated measures ANOVA. After checking the normality of the values of perceived fatigue (p>0.05), a Student t-test was used to analyse the influence of the stocking condition on the perception of fatigue. Data were reported as means with the 95% confidence intervals (95% Cl). Significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

RESULTS

Participants underwent a similar training load with GCS and PLA-CEBO stockings (training days, GCS vs PLACEBO: 3.5 ± 0.5 vs 3.4 ± 0.4 days · week⁻¹, p=0.89; weekly mileage, GCS vs PLACEBO: 37.2 ± 4.7 vs 37.5 ± 4.4 km · week⁻¹, p=0.78).

The average MAS of the runners was $16.0 \pm 1.5 \text{ km} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$, and the lab tests were therefore carried out at $12.8 \pm 1.2 \text{ km} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ (80% MAS). The running protocol (30 minutes at 80% MAS) had a significant influence on the cardiorespiratory variables measured in the present study. V_E, HR, V_E/O₂ and V_E/VCO₂ increased significantly throughout the test in both conditions (Table 1). VCO₂/kg significantly decreased when running with GCS and PLACEBO stockings. VO₂/HR decreased only in the PLACEBO condition. However, no differences between moments (V1 and V2) (Table 1) or in the slow component (V2-V1) (Figure 2) were found for any of the garment conditions. Finally, the average perception of fatigue was 16.3 (95% CI [15.5-17.0]), and it was similar with GCS and PLACEBO stockings (p=0.9).

DISCUSSION

The present study analysed the effects of using GCS for three weeks on different cardiorespiratory parameters in runners. It was hypothesized that the use of GCS for three weeks would improve the athletes' cardiorespiratory parameters during running. However, the results of the present study do not support this hypothesis, since no effect on any of the cardiorespiratory parameters was observed after three weeks of running with GCS. On the other hand, as expected, the

TABLE I. Mean with the 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) of the selected cardiorespiratory parameters at the three moments in GCS and PLACEBO condition

	GCS				PLACEBO				p (GCS vs PLACEBO)	
	V1	V2	Diff	р	V1	V2	Diff	р	V1	V2
V _E (I · min⁻¹)	83. 8 [75.3-92.3]	92.2 [82.6-101.8]	8.5	<0.001	82. 9 [74.5-91.3]	91.8 [81.7-101.8]	8.9	<0.001	0.24	0.81
HR (beats · min⁻¹)	163.6 [159.1-168.1]	174.1 [168.8-179,4]	10.5	<0.001	163.1 [157.9-168.2]	173.1 [167.1-179.1]	10.0	<0.001	0.63	0.55
VO₂/kg (ml · min⁻¹ · Kg⁻¹)	45.2 [41.1-49.3]	44.7 [40.6-48.9]	-0.5	0.28	45.4 [41.7-49.2]	44.7 [41.1-48.3]	-0.8	0.05	0.85	0.98
VCO₂/kg (ml · min⁻¹ · Kg⁻¹)	41.8 [37.9-45.6]	41.0 [37.2-44.9]	-0.7	0.01	42.1 [38.4-45.7]	41.3 [37.8-44.8]	-0.7	0.03	0.78	0.81
V _E /VO ₂ (I · min⁻¹)	28.3 [25.6-31.0]	31.5 [28.4-34.6]	3.2	<0.001	28.2 [25.5-30.9]	31.6 [28.5-34.7]	3.4	<0.001	0.84	0.90
V _E /VCO₂ (I · min⁻¹)	30.6 [28.0-33.2]	34.2 [31.3-37.2]	3.6	<0.001	30.5 [27.7-33.3]	34.2 [31.0-37.4]	3.7	<0.001	0.86	0.99
VO₂/HR (ml · beats · min⁻¹)	18.8 [16.7-20.9]	18.0 [16.3-19.7]	-0.8	0.15	18.7 [16.8-20.5]	17.4 [15.5-19.2]	-1.3	<0.001	0.88	0.24

Note: Differences between V1 and V2, and between GCS and PLACEBO, are presented with statistically significant p values indicated in bold letters (p < 0.05). V_E – minute ventilation, HR – heart rate, VO₂/kg – relative oxygen consumption, VCO₂/kg – relative carbon dioxide production, V_E/VO₂ – ventilatory equivalent for oxygen, V_E/VCO₂ – ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide, and VO₂/HR – oxygen pulse; V1 – 5th to 10th minute; V2 – last 5 minutes of test





FIG. 2. B Differences between GCS and PLACEBO condition in V2-V1 (difference between the end and the beginning of the test). Mean and standard deviations of the different cardiorespiratory parameters (V_E – minute ventilation, HR – heart rate, VO₂/kg – relative oxygen consumption, VCO₂/kg – relative carbon dioxide production, V_E/VO_2 – ventilatory equivalent for oxygen, V_E/VCO_2 – ventilatory equivalent for oxygen pulse). No statistically significant differences were found.

running test performed in the study (a 30-min run at 80% MAS) was intense enough to provoke changes in the cardiorespiratory parameters as a consequence of the development of the fatigue state in the runners. These results indicate that the running test used in this study led to alterations in the cardiorespiratory response, and it can therefore be considered an adequate test to assess the effects of GCS on the human body during running [17].

Interventions aiming to influence the cardiorespiratory system during exercise such as special types of clothing (compressive stockings, arm sleeves or full body suits) may potentially influence oxygen uptake in running [17]. In this sense, the VO₂ slow component is considered an important parameter to evaluate performance and efficiency, especially in exercises performed above the lactate threshold [32-34]. Bringard et al. [17] found that wearing compressive tights improved by 26% and 36% the VO₂ slow component during running compared to classic tights and shorts, respectively. In the present study, no differences in the VO₂ slow component were found when using GCS or the PLACEBO stockings. Most of the studies have analysed the effect of compressive garments by means of acute interventions [14,18,21]. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first study to analyse the effects of a longer intervention of compressive garments on cardiorespiratory parameters in runners. However, no difference in any of the cardiorespiratory parameters analysed, independent of the moment of analysis, was observed. Therefore, the results of this study suggest that even after a 3-week intervention, the use of GCS did not improve cardiorespiratory parameters in recreational runners.

Previous studies have suggested that the increase in venous return results in a reduction of heart rate [1,3]. In the present study, no differences in heart rate were observed when using GCS or the PLACEBO stockings, in agreement with previous studies [6,14,17]. In this sense, the distance between the garments (which were worn on the lower leg) and the heart may explain this result.

Moreover, the participants in the current study had some running experience, which may imply that their cardiorespiratory system may be already adapted enough to deal with the physiological loading stress of the run [35]. If that were the case, their cardiorespiratory system would not need the external support of the GCS. Even though this is pure speculation at this point, it is likely that use of GCS may not influence the venous return in the lower limb strongly enough to provoke significant changes in heart rate in experienced runners.

The minimum pressure required to influence cardiac output has been estimated to be about 17 mmHg at the leg [36]. Thus, the pressure provided by the GCS used in the study should be high enough to produce cardiovascular adaptations. However, no differences were observed between GCS and the PLACEBO stockings for any of the variables analysed. The values of compression of these GCS (21–24 mmHg) are similar to those reported in other studies that analyse the effects of using GCS [18,19,21], which may indicate that the compression gradient does not account for the different results between studies. Furthermore, a control stocking (PLACEBO) was also used in the present study in order to control the effects produced only by the compression. However, it is unknown whether a different level of compression of the garments would have influenced the cardiovascular parameters analysed in the present study.

CONCLUSIONS

This study demonstrates that running with GCS for three weeks did not influence cardiorespiratory parameters in recreational runners. However, future studies are needed to compare stockings with different levels of compression in order to observe whether these adaptations occur and to analyse their relationship with the different levels of compression.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all the runners and the research assistants of the GIBD who helped throughout the study, especially Mr. Ferran Risent Garcia and Ms. Laura Contelles. We also acknowledge AITEX (Textile Industry Research Association) for its collaboration in the study.

Conflict of interests: the authors declared no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this manuscript.

Long-term effects of graduated compression stockings on cardiorespiratory performance

REFERENCES

- Bovenschen HJ, Booij M te, van der Vleuten CJM. Graduated Compression Stockings for Runners: Friend, Foe, or Fake? J Athl Train. 2013;48(2):226–32.
- Faulkner JA, Gleadon D, McLaren J, Jakeman JR. Effect of lower-limb compression clothing on 400-m sprint performance. J Strength Cond Res. 2013;27(3):669–76.
- 3. Scanlan AT, Dascombe BJ, Reaburn PRJ, Osborne M. The effects of wearing lower-body compression garments during endurance cycling. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 2008;3(4):424–38.
- Chatard JC, Atlaoui D, Farjanel J, Louisy F, Rastel D, Guézennec CY. Elastic stockings, performance and leg pain recovery in 63-year-old sportsmen. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2004;93(3):347–52.
- Bieuzen F, Brisswalter J, Easthope C, Vercruyssen F, Bernard T, Hausswirth C. Effect of Wearing Compression Stockings on Recovery Following Mild Exercise-Induced Muscle Damage. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 2014;9(2):256-64.
- Ali A, Caine MP, Snow BG. Graduated compression stockings: physiological and perceptual responses during and after exercise. J Sports Sci. 2007;25(4):413– 9.
- Trenell MI, Rooney KB, Carolyn M, Campbell S, Thompson H. Compression garments and recovery from eccentric exercise: a 31P-MRS Study. J Sports Sci Med. 2006;5:106–14.
- Kraemer WJ, Flanagan SD, Comstock BA, Fragala MS, Earp JE, Dunn-Lewis C, Ho J-Y, Thomas GA, Solomon-Hill G, Penwell ZR, Powell MD, Wolf MR, Volek JS, Denegar CR, Maresh CM. Effects of a whole body compression garment on markers of recovery after a heavy resistance workout in men and women. J Strength Cond Res. 2010;24(3):804– 14.
- Kraemer WJ, Bush JA, Wickham RB, Denegar CR, Gómez AL, Gotshalk LA, Duncan ND, Volek JS, Putukian M, Sebastianelli WJ. Influence of compression therapy on symptoms following soft tissue injury from maximal eccentric exercise. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2001;31(6):282–90.
- Hill J, Howatson G, Someren K van, Leeder J, Pedlar C. Compression garments and recovery from exerciseinduced muscle damage: a metaanalysis. Br J Sports Med. 2013;bjsports – 2013–092456.
- MacRae BA, Cotter JD, Laing RM. Compression garments and exercise: garment considerations, physiology and performance. Sports Med Auckl NZ. 2011;41(10):815–43.
- 12. MacRae BA, Laing RM, Niven BE, Cotter JD. Pressure and coverage effects

of sporting compression garments on cardiovascular function, thermoregulatory function, and exercise performance. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2012;112(5):1783–95.

- 13. Agu O, Hamilton G, Baker D. Graduated compression stockings in the prevention of venous thromboembolism. Br J Surg. 1999;86(8):992–1004.
- Ali A, Creasy RH, Edge JA. Physiological effects of wearing graduated compression stockings during running. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2010;109(6):1017–25.
- Born D-P, Sperlich B, Holmberg H-C. Bringing light into the dark: effects of compression clothing on performance and recovery. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 2013;8(1): 4-18..
- Doan BK, Kwon Y-H, Newton RU, Shim J, Popper EM, Rogers RA, Bolt LR, Robertson M, Kraemer WJ. Evaluation of a lower-body compression garment. J Sports Sci. 2003;21(8):601–10.
- Bringard A, Perrey S, Belluye N. Aerobic energy cost and sensation responses during submaximal running exercise-positive effects of wearing compression tights. Int J Sports Med. 2006;27(5):373–8.
- Kemmler W, von Stengel S, Köckritz C, Mayhew J, Wassermann A, Zapf J. Effect of compression stockings on running performance in men runners. J Strength Cond Res. 2009;23(1):101–5.
- Sperlich B, Haegele M, Achtzehn S, Linville J, Holmberg H-C, Mester J. Different types of compression clothing do not increase sub-maximal and maximal endurance performance in well-trained athletes. J Sports Sci. 2010;28(6):609–14.
- Coza A, Dunn JF, Anderson B, Nigg BM. Effects of compression on muscle tissue oxygenation at the onset of exercise. J Strength Cond Res. 2012;26(6):1631– 7.
- Bringard A, Denis R, Belluye N, Perrey S. Effects of compression tights on calf muscle oxygenation and venous pooling during quiet resting in supine and standing positions. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 2006;46(4):548–54.
- 22. Sawka MN, Convertino VA, Eichner ER, Schnieder SM, Young AJ. Blood volume: importance and adaptations to exercise training, environmental stresses, and trauma/sickness. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2000;32(2):332–48.
- 23. Fletcher GF, Balady GJ, Amsterdam EA, Chaitman B, Eckel R, Fleg J, Froelicher VF, Leon AS, Piña IL, Rodney R, Simons-Morton DA, Williams MA, Bazzarre T. Exercise standards for testing and training: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2001;104(14):1694–740.

- Ziemba AW, Chwalbińska-Moneta J, Kaciuba-Uścilko H, Kruk B, Krzeminski K, Cybulski G, Nazar K. Early effects of short-term aerobic training. Physiological responses to graded exercise. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 2003;43(1):57–63.
- 25. Gaesser GA, Poole DC. Blood lactate during exercise: time course of training adaptation in humans. Int J Sports Med. 1988;9(4):284–8.
- 26. Gosselin LE, Kozlowski KF, DeVinney-Boymel L, Hambridge C. Metabolic Response of Different High-Intensity Aerobic Interval Exercise Protocols: J Strength Cond Res. 2012;26(10):2866– 71.
- Nelson EA, Harper DR, Prescott RJ, Gibson B, Brown D, Ruckley CV. Prevention of recurrence of venous ulceration: Randomized controlled trial of class 2 and class 3 elastic compression. J Vasc Surg. 2006;44(4):803–8.
- Berthon P, Dabonneville M, Fellmann N, Bedu M, Chamoux A. Maximal aerobic velocity measured by the 5-min running field test on two different fitness level groups. Arch Physiol Biochem. 1997;105(7):633–9.
- García-Pérez JA, Pérez-Soriano P, Llana S, Martínez-Nova A, Sánchez-Zuriaga D. Effect of overground vs treadmill running on plantar pressure: Influence of fatigue. Gait Posture. 2013;38(4):929–33.
- Lavcanska V, Taylor NF, Schache AG. Familiarization to treadmill running in young unimpaired adults. Hum Mov Sci. 2005;24(4):544–57.
- 31. Borg G. Psychophysical scaling with applications in physical work and the perception of exertion. Scand J Work Environ Health. 1990;55–8.
- Bosquet L, Larrouturou M, Lheureux O, Carter H. V O Slow Component is Independent from Critical Power. Int J Sports Med. 2011;32(09):693–7.
- Burnley M, Jones AM. Oxygen uptake kinetics as a determinant of sports performance. Eur J Sport Sci. 2007;7(2):63–79.
- Jones AM, Grassi B, Christensen PM, Krustrup P, Bangsbo J, Poole DC. Slow component of VO2 kinetics: mechanistic bases and practical applications. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2011;43(11):2046– 62.
- Sciacca V, Buonopera P, Mingoli A, Di Marzo L, Matteucci E. Evaluation of venous flow by light reflection rheography (LRR) in athletes performing track race. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1991;31(2):265–71.
- Watanuki S, Murata H. Effects of wearing compression stockings on cardiovascular responses. Ann Physiol Anthropol. 1994;13:121–121.