Landscape Socioecology in the Serpis Valley (10,000–4000 BP)

Abstract: In this paper we discuss our approach to landscape modeling for the Holocene middle Serpis drainage system (central Mediterranean Coast of the Iberian Peninsula). The archaeological sequence of these valleys is marked by the initial appearance of the Neolithic package around 5700 BC. We examine how landscapes respond to the agricultural way of life, in both the short and the long term. Concepts like change, adaptation and also resilience provide conceptual frameworks to better understand the way in which humans interact with their surroundings. They also help to explain how phenomena like the initial introduction of simple cereal horticulture, or subsequent introduction of plow cultivation (Bernabeu-Aubán 1995) can trigger processes with sometimes unpredictable consequences in Mediterranean ecosystems. These consequences are not inherently disastrous, but the interactions between ecosystems and humans using resources are complex and often display non-linear outcomes of human decisions. We use the concept of socioecology to characterize these closely coupled human and natural systems as these should be an important focus of interest for archaeology.

Introduction

In Spain, it is traditional for archaeologists to consider prehistory as a subfield of history that studies the past of the human groups before the existence of written documents. It is this absence of written documents that makes necessary the need for a series of special techniques and methods that constitute what is known as the archaeological method. In this sense, the archaeological method includes basic principles that converge with those of geology but which are made more complex by human contributions (intentional and unintentional) to the formation of the archaeological record. In other academic traditions, however, prehistory does not exist as a subfield of history, and archaeology is that part of anthropology that studies the remote human past. Perhaps the most obvious consequence of these differences is that anthropological archaeology has often focused on the study of human behavior at different points in time, whereas prehistory has focused on how human groups change through time.

There has been some recent convergence of both archaeological traditions in Spain, with some of the basic concepts of history, such as the Braudelian longue durée, attracting the attention of anthropologists and concepts common in anthropology, such as habitus (of Bourdieu) or agency (Bourdieu 1990; Braudel 1980; Hegmon 2003), coming into use among Spanish prehistorians. An important common ground to both approaches to the human past is the recognition of the importance of space to human systems and their changes through time. New computer techniques for studying the combined spatial and temporal dynamics of the past offer archaeologists of both traditions the opportunity to explore new ways to study human socioecosystems (Barton et al. 1999; idem 2004; Bernabeu Aubán et al. 1999; idem 2006; Kvamme 1999). In the following pages, we briefly describe examples of our approach to an always-difficult aspect of the past, the reconstruction of landscapes through a dynamic process where ecosystems and humans interact and change together through time in a non-linear way.

This research is part of the Mediterranean Landscape Dynamics project (Medland) – a multidisciplinary effort working to study the dynamics of landuse-landscape-socioecosystem interactions. As the physical archaeological record is fragmentary and static, the Medland project aims to create a modeling laboratory where the social and ecological consequences of alternative land use practices can be recreated and tested against the archaeological record: – not only in the Iberian Peninsula but also elsewhere in the Mediterranean (Barton et al. 2006). A primary goal for this laboratory of the past is to gain a better insight into the recursive interactions of humans and landscapes at multiple temporal and spatial scales. Studies, like the ones summarized here are providing empirical data to validate and fine tune these models of human socioecology.
Geographical Setting

Location

The Alcoy valley (or Valles de Alcoi) is the local name for the upper and middle Río Serpis (also known as the Riu d'Alcoi) basin above the Beniarrés dam (Fig. 1). The total area of the basin is 736.85 km², with 460.77 km² in the upper and middle reaches above the dam. The Río Serpis is a typical Mediterranean river, with a comparatively short, steep course. Its total length is only 35.3 km. Most of its water comes from rainfall, but some karstic groundwater feeds the main river year-round making it a permanent stream – albeit a meager one during the summer. The Serpis drains towards the NE following the structure of the Valencian Betic system. Although in a montane setting, the Serpis valley is still near the coast. It lies 28 km to the south-southeast at Baeza Cove (next to Vila Joiosa), 53 km to the east at Moraira, and 40 km to the north-northeast at Gandía. Natural routes from the valley to the coast follow the course of the Río Serpis through the l’Orxas-Vilallonga gorge to the northeast, and the dry Vall de Gallinera and the Vall de Fageca-Famorca to the east. More difficult routes follow the Coll de Rates and Torre de les Maçanes to the south (Fig. 1).

Physiographic Setting

At the northeastern extent of the Betic System, the mountains surrounding the Alcoy valley average around 1000 m AMSL, with some summits nearing 1400 m. The main valley is a structural basin, where former calcareous anticlines overturned towards the north to become a horst that surrounds like an amphitheatre several glacis of about 550–700 m in the upper part to 300–400 m in the valley bottom, and forms a more faulted structure to the south (Marco Molina 1990). The Alcoy and adjacent valleys are filled with mudstones and have been transformed by extensional tectonics since Pliocene times (La Roca Cervigón 1991; Mezcua / Martínez Solares 1983). The deepest sections are the Alcoi graben and a zone in the center of the Serpis valley, located between the Mariola, Benicadell and Almudaina sierras (Fig. 2).

Climate

This mountainous region surrounding the Alcoy valley is a humid island in the Valencian precoastal semi-arid climate, with higher precipitation and lower evapotranspiration than other areas of Alicante Province (Rosselló Verger 1965, 5). The Cabo de la Nau, to east of Alcoy, marks an important cli-
matic divide (Gil Olcina 1994; Martínez Ibarra 2006; Van Beek 2002) between a northern coastal zone open to the dominant Mediterranean flows, and a southern zone of rain shadow. Lautensach (1964, 629) describes the area around Alcoy as sub-humid or semihumid, with three to four arid months instead of the five to six recorded on the coast. Annual average precipitation is usually over 500 mm, reaching more than 900 mm in the highest weather stations (Martínez Ibarra 2006, 70). The annual period of low rainfall generally lasts from June to October, with a maximum in August-September – although occasionally the dry period begins as early as April, requiring the use of supplementary groundwater for agriculture (López Gómez 1978, 114). Kunow (1966) calculated a pluvial gradient for this area of about 60 mm per 100 m that is useful for environmental modeling (Fig. 3).

Prehistoric Socioecology

Archaeological Evidence

The distinctive climate along a climatic divide, topographic variation, and the location between the Mediterranean coast and interior Meseta has produced a diversity of ecological niches within this region that favored human presence in Late Prehistory (as well as considerably earlier). Archaeological investigations, beginning at the end of 19th century, have identified an important number of human sites dated within the Holocene. More recently, extensive surveys and excavations of Neolithic villages have provided more detailed information about human settlement in the Alcoy valley and adjacent Albaida valley (Barton et al. 1999; idem 2002; idem 2004; Bernabeu Aubán et al. 1994; idem 1999; idem 2003; idem 2006; Bernabeu Aubán / Köhler 2005; García Puchol 2005; García Puchol / Aura Tortosa 2006; García Puchol et al. 2001). Despite inherent limitations of the archaeological record, the comparatively rich information available for this region has allowed us to begin to model its Holocene socioecology.

Chronology

The excavation of stratified assemblages from a number of Holocene sites (Fig. 4) occupied by pre-Neolithic hunter/gatherers (e.g. Regadiuet, Falguera, Tossal de la Roca, and possibly Punxó) and Neo-
lithic farmers (e.g. Cova l’Or, Mas D’Is, Jovades, Colata, Punxó) have allowed us to build a solid chronological framework, that combines ceramic stylistic criteria with calibrated radiocarbon dates. The arrival of the first agriculturalists to the region (Neolithic I) is characterized by a Cardial ware ceramics, stylistically related to the impressed-ware ceramic tradition of the western Mediterranean. Later phases (Neolithic II) are characterized by more open vessels and a tendency towards marginalization of decoration. An extensive series of C-14 dates (mostly AMS) on short-life materials (to avoid old wood effects) suggests possible discontinuities in human activities, such as a hiatus in the two centuries before the appearance of the earliest farmers, and another between ca. 4200 and ca. 3900 BC (Bernabeu Aubán et al. 2006, Fig. 8.2). Earlier phases of the Neolithic have proven more amenable to detailed chronological subdivision than recent ones as a consequence of better radiocarbon sampling and due to problems related to the calibration curve itself. Ceramic phases of around 150–300 years can be distinguished for the Neolithic I, while only phases of 600 and 1100 years can be distinguished for the Neolithic II.

**Site Catchment Analysis**

Identification of resource catchments around prehistoric sites is an important aid to analyzing changes in socioecology through time. While there have been several approaches to site catchment generation proposed since GIS was introduced into archaeology, here we conceptually follow the classic proposals of Gilman and Thomes (Gilman / Thomes 1985) for the Southeast of the Iberian Peninsula, further developed by J. Vicent. However, instead of simple circles used in the earlier work, we calculate catchment areas from a cost-distance friction surface map, derived from topographic slope and transformed into minutes of walking time from each site (Fig. 4). These catchment areas can be used to analyze resources available within different walking times when combined with paleoenvironmen-
Landscape Modeling and Settlement Dynamics

Prior GIS-based research has documented dynamics in land use and settlement intensity through time in this region (Barton et al. 1999; idem 2002; idem 2004; Bernabeu Aubán et al. 1994; idem 1999; idem 2003; idem 2006). We noted additional evidence for occupation cycles for the Neolithic that appear to show sites of approximately the same size in the Early Neolithic, with greater diversity – and in some cases considerable increases – in the size of the sites in the later Neolithic. The settlement patterns now visible in the archaeological record are the cumulative result of long-term human organizational dynamics at regional scales. The Early Neolithic pattern seems to represent the establishment and abandonment of equivalent, small settlements at different locales over time. The later Neolithic pattern, on the other hand, shows a different dynamic that includes both the establishment of small settlements and the growth of small settlements into larger ones.

These different patterns appear to represent long cycles of occupation and the persistence throughout time of certain socioecological relationships, which are abruptly altered at points in time. In the terminology of Complexity Theory, these different cumulative settlement patterns represent the socioecological fitness landscapes of different human niches. For simple hoe-based agriculture, settlement organization tends toward the repetition of dispersed, small, identical organizational units. For the more specialized and diverse agricultural systems of the Late Neolithic, with more intensive plow-cultivation and extensive herding, some settlements become differentially strong attractors, and grow much larger than others (McClure / Jochim / Barton 2006). These centers of attraction may initially form because certain settlements have slight differences in productive potential. The growth of these settlement locales can drive their increased strength as attractors of human settlement if they also become places with increased accumulation of wealth and prestige. We see this characterization in some of the Late Neolithic communities (Bernabeu Aubán et al. 2006). Naturally, within each of these cycles of apparent dynamic stability, fluctuations also can occur, but these are less visible in the archaeological record.

Software Considerations

The Department of Prehistory of the University of Valencia in collaboration with other institutions (Technological and Computer Science Institute of the Polytechnic University of Valencia, Network of European Excellence EPOCH, Medland project at Arizona State University) has prioritized the use of GIS as an essential tool to manage archaeological data and carry out reconstructions of the past. A primary objective of this work is to build an Archaeological Information System, based on existing
open source tools. The research presented here was carried out using GRASS (http://grass.itc.it/) and gvSIG (http://www.gvSIG.gva.es/). It exemplifies the capabilities of free GIS, which can equal or exceed those of commercial systems whose high costs often put them out of the reach of students and many researchers. We used GRASS for most of the raster calculations, because of its powerful tools for modeling past landscapes. We used gvSIG for other routines such as WMS server queries and visualization of georeferenced images.
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Preface

This volume contains the proceedings of the 35th Computer Applications and Quantitative Methods in Archaeology (CAA) Conference, held April 2–6, 2007, in Berlin, Germany.

CAA began in 1973 as a small organisation with an annual meeting at the University of Birmingham. As participation grew over the years, the conference was first held outside the UK in Denmark in 1992, and 15 years later, CAA was invited to Germany for the first time. Looking back, it seems hard to understand what took us so long to organise a CAA conference in Germany, as the origins of CAA-related activities reach back here as far as the early 1980s, when the first interest groups on quantitative methods in archaeology were formed in both East and West Germany. Nevertheless, the Berlin conference provided us with an apt opportunity to finally announce that the successor of those early precursors, the former “Arbeitsgemeinschaft Quantitative Methoden”, had been renamed to become the German chapter of CAA.

The idea to host the CAA conference in Germany was originally proposed in 2004 by Benjamin Ducke (then at the University of Kiel) and Axel Posluschny (Roman Germanic Commission [RGK] of the German Archaeological Institute [DAI]) and soon found ample interest and support. In the end, the Berlin conference was jointly organised by the DAI, the German chapter of CAA, the Interdisciplinary Centre for the Study of the Ancient World at the Free University of Berlin and the Collection of Classical Antiquities of the National Museums in Berlin. We received generous financial support from the German Research Foundation (DFG) and the aforementioned Berlin-based institutions, as well as from our sponsors (Eastern Atlas and Verlag Philipp von Zabern), advertisers and exhibitors. Without their support, it would not have been possible to host this conference.

A Look Back at the Berlin Conference

With 554 participants from 39 countries, the 2007 conference was the largest CAA conference to date. In 42 sessions organised around a wide range of topics, 287 papers, 47 posters and 6 demos were presented. Furthermore, 10 workshops and a round table discussion were offered. The volume of contributions required up to 10 parallel sessions to be held over 4½ days. Certainly not everyone was happy with a conference of this size, but it nevertheless shows that CAA continues to be an attractive meeting point for professionals from a variety of backgrounds. The scientific programme of the conference was put together by the editors of this volume (Axel Posluschny, Karsten Lambers and Irmela Herzog), who were supported by many colleagues whose contributions are acknowledged below.

Some particularities of the Berlin conference as compared to previous conferences are worth mentioning. We fully integrated the workshops into the conference programme in order to avoid holding the opening session when the conference was already in full swing. We also gave the poster and demo session a prominent place in the programme by keeping its time slot free of any parallel sessions. While we feel that these choices were fully justified by the broad attendance of the poster and demo session as well as the workshops, they were also an expression of the freedom of each local organising team to try out new concepts, thereby continuously adapting the CAA format to new requirements. We enjoyed this freedom and encourage future conference organisers to make full use of it as well.

The sessions and workshops were complemented by a variety of other activities. In the central conference lobby, 16 exhibitors presented a wide range of CAA-related products, among them archaeological journals and monographs, visualisation software, 3D scanners and excavation databases. The European Network of Excellence EPOCH chose to convene during the CAA conference and organised sessions as well as workshops on the topics of their research. Most importantly, the Berlin conference saw the foundation of both the German and the North American chapters of the worldwide CAA community.

The Free University was a perfect location for the conference. We are grateful to the local organising team led by Agnes Henning and Hauke Ziemssen and supported by Katja Moede and others for their dedicated efforts before and during the conference. We also appreciate Jörg Denkinger’s contribution, who developed a highly recognisable graphic design for all conference materials and co-produced, together with Hauke
Ziemssen, an attractive and easy to use abstract book. Warm thanks also go to the IT department of the DAI head office as well as to staff members from both the DAI and the Free University for contributing to the success of the conference instead of enjoying their Easter holidays.

The CAA 2007 conference was held under the auspices of His Excellence Dr. Frank-Walter Steinmeier, the German Minister of Foreign Affairs. We are grateful for his support and for the opportunity to host the welcome reception at the Federal Foreign Office. The National Museums in Berlin offered free entry and special guided tours for all conference participants and were in charge of the organisation of the conference dinner and the farewell reception. The contributions of Martin Maischberger to these activities are gratefully acknowledged. Michael Meyer, then member of the Archaeological Service of the Brandenburg State Office for Historical Monuments arranged the post-conference tour to various sights in Brandenburg. The social programme, as well as the wide choice of other cultural highlights and activities in Berlin gave conference attendees ample opportunities to use their conference badges for free rides on the Berlin subway to see the sights and enjoy more than just an inspiring conference.

About this Volume

The present volume contains a selection of the papers, posters, and workshops presented in Berlin. Of the papers given in Berlin but missing here, the majority were not submitted for publication, while a few others were rejected during the review process. Some sessions held in Berlin are not represented here as none of the papers were submitted. Therefore we organised the remaining papers into new sections that in our view give a good idea of the main topics currently discussed within the CAA community. For the purpose of publication, long and short papers as well as posters were all treated alike and allowed approximately 6 pages. Following CAA’s publication policy, discussed during previous General Annual Meetings, we decided to publish all accepted papers on CD and only a selection of them in print. We generally selected those papers recommended for printed publication by the reviewers, regardless of their topic. This explains why some sections contain more printed papers than others. For the sake of user-friendliness the titles, abstracts and file names of all those papers published in their entirety on CD only are also listed in the printed volume. Many papers published both in print and on CD contain colour figures that could only be reproduced correctly on CD, as the book had to be printed in black and white. In all cases where captions make reference to coloured elements of figures, the reader is therefore referred to the corresponding PDF file on the accompanying CD.

The peer-review procedure comprised two steps: (1) All abstracts were reviewed prior to the conference. (2) After the conference, all papers submitted for publication were again reviewed. Such an elaborate review procedure required the willingness and expertise of many volunteers, which was indeed generously offered to us by the many colleagues mentioned below. Unfortunately, we had to impose a tight schedule on the whole process. As the discussion during the General Annual Meeting in Berlin clearly showed, delayed publication of proceedings is currently one of the most pressing issues among the CAA community. Therefore we strove to publish this volume in time before the following CAA conference in Budapest. Shortly before Budapest, however, a most unfortunate series of technical issues and communication problems with the company commissioned to do the language editing and typesetting of the manuscripts for the printed volume caused a delay of several months, requiring the re-negotiation of the original contract. We apologise for any discord that our tight publication schedule and the subsequent delayed publication of this volume may have caused.

Our major concern was the quality of the proceedings, as in our opinion CAA proceedings should continue to be reference publications for people interested in computer applications and quantitative methods in archaeology. While most reviewers contributed to this quality control by submitting detailed and insightful comments on the reviewed papers, for which we are extremely grateful, the varying quality and elaborateness of other reviews sometimes left authors and editors alike at a loss how to respond. We therefore recommend future proceedings editors to take a more structured approach to the review procedure.

These minor issues aside, we greatly enjoyed working with all people who made the publication of this volume possible. The scientific committee was comprised of all reviewers of abstracts and papers as well
as chairs of sessions and workshops, mentioned here in alphabetical order. We very much appreciate their valuable assistance.

Dean Abernathy (chair)  
Craig Alexander (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Jens Andresen (papers)  
Michael Ashley (chair)  
Juan Barceló (papers, chair)  
Ruth Beusing (abstracts, papers)  
Wolfgang Börner (abstracts, papers)  
Kai-Christian Bruhn (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Jeffrey Clark (chair)  
Erich Claßen (chair)  
Ortwin Dally (papers)  
Bruno Deiss (abstracts)  
Benjamin Ducke (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Harrison Eiteljorg (papers)  
Jörg Faßbinder (abstracts, papers)  
Kelly Fennema (papers, chair)  
Kate Fernie (chair)  
Arne Flaten (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Friederike Fless (chair)  
Reinhard Förtsch (abstracts, chair)  
Bernard Frischer (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Andreas Georgopoulos (chair)  
Alyson A. Gill (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Armin Gruen (chair)  
Thomas Hanusch (chair)  
David Haskiya (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Robert Hecht (chair)  
Katja Heine (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Markus Helfert (abstracts, papers)  
Agnes Henning (chair)  
Irmela Herzog (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Henner von Hesberg (abstracts, chair)  
Elisabeth Jerem (papers, chair)  
Hans Kamermans (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Tim Kerig (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Rainer Komp (abstracts, chair)  
Martin Kuna (papers)  
Mark Lake (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Karsten Lambers (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Guus Lange (papers)  
Jutta Lechterbeck (abstracts, papers, chair)  
P. Martijn van Leusen (abstracts, chair)  
Gary Lock (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Scott Madry (abstracts, chair)  
Emilia Masci (chair)  
Nicolas Melard (abstracts, chair)  
Katja Moede (abstracts)  
Vincent Mom (chair)  
Mark Mudge (papers, chair)  
Johannes Müller (chair)  
Matthias Müller (chair)  
Nils Müller-Scheeßel (chair)  
Franco Niccolucci (papers)  
Laure Nuninger (papers)  
Clive Orton (abstracts, papers)  
Hans Paijmans (chair)  
Daniel Pletinckx (papers)  
Axel Posluschny (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Marin Posselt (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Luke Premo (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Knut Rassmann (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Karina Rodriguez (chair)  
Nick Ryan (papers)  
Martin Sauerbier (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Ladislav Smejda (papers)  
Stephen Stead (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Hanna Stoeger (chair)  
Burkart Ullrich (abstracts, chair)  
Philip Verhagen (papers, chair)  
David Wheatley (chair)  
Tom Whitley (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Ben Wood (chair)  
Samuel Wood (chair)  
Ulrike Wulf-Rheidt (abstracts, papers, chair)  
Andreas Zimmermann (abstracts, papers, chair)

Michèle Eller, Markus Helfert and Hauke Ziemssen provided essential editorial assistance during various stages of the reviewing and editing process. Hermann Parzinger, then president of DAI, endorsed our efforts from the beginning and provided ample organisational and financial support. The same applies for Friedrich Lüth, director of RGK, who furthermore helped us by agreeing to publish this volume in a suitable RGK book series. Finally, we owe substantial advice on the layout and contents of the volume to Susanne Sievers, vice director of RGK. Without these valuable contributions, this volume could not have been published.
All in all, organising the Berlin conference and preparing this volume was a very time-consuming, yet highly rewarding and inspiring experience. We are glad to have followed the example of so many colleagues who took on this task before us, and encourage all others to share this experience in the future.
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