Contribution of endothelin receptors and cyclooxygenase-derivatives to the altered response of the rabbit renal artery to endothelin-1 in diabetes
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Abstract

The influence of diabetes on regulatory mechanisms and specific receptors implicated in the response of isolated rabbit renal artery to endothelin-1 was examined. Endothelin-1 induced a concentration-dependent contraction that was less potent in arteries from diabetic rabbits than in arteries from control rabbits. Endothelium removal or N G-nitro-L-arginine (L-NOARG) enhanced contractions to endothelin-1 either in control and diabetic arteries. Indomethacin inhibited endothelin-1-induced response in control arteries, but enhanced it in diabetic arteries. In contrast to that observed in rubbed and in L-NOARG treated arteries, in the presence of indomethacin the contractile action of endothelin-1 was higher in diabetic arteries than in control arteries. Nimesulide enhanced endothelin-1 contractions both in control and diabetic arteries. Cyclo-(D-Asp-Pro-D-Val-Leu-D-Trp) (BQ-123, endothelin ETA receptor antagonist), attenuated endothelin-1 vasoconstriction in control rabbits, while vasoconstriction resulted increased in diabetic rabbits. 2,6-Dimethylpiperidinecarbonyl-γ-Methyl-Leu-N in-(Methoxycarbonyl)-D-Trp-D-Nle (BQ-788, endothelin ETB receptor antagonist), enhanced the contractile response in control rabbit arteries without modifying this response in diabetic rabbits. In summary, diabetes decreases the sensitivity of the rabbit renal artery to endothelin-1 by decreasing the ratio between vasoconstrictor and vasodilator prostanoids released after activation of endothelin ETA receptors.
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1. Introduction

The WHO Multinational Study of Vascular Disease in Diabetes reported that cardiovascular disease is the most common cause of death accounting for 44% of deaths in Type I diabetes mellitus, followed by renal disease accounting for 21% of deaths (Morrish et al., 2001).

Vascular reactivity is regulated by a complex interaction between vasoconstrictor and vasodilator substances released from endothelium, smooth muscle cells, perivascular nerve endings and blood cells. The endothelium has a decisive role in regulating both the tone and the growth of the vessel wall by maintaining a critical balance between different constrictor (endothelin-1, angiotensin II, thromboxane A2, prostaglandin H2) and relaxant (nitric oxide, prostacyclin, endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor) substances. Accordingly, the vasoconstrictor and mitogenic actions of endothelin-1 are opposed to those of vasodilator and antigrowth factors such as nitric oxide (NO) and prostacyclin secreted by endothelial cells. Smooth muscle cells are able to release vasoconstricter and vasodilator prostaglandins. ATP, noradrenaline and neuropeptide Y are co-stored and released from vascular neuroeffector junctions (Huidobro-Toro and Donoso, 2005) and nitricergic perivascular nerve endings have been histochemically and functionally identified in the vessel wall (Haberberger et al., 1997; Jurzik et al., 2005).

Prostanoids derived from endogenous cyclooxygenases (COX)-mediated arachidonic acid metabolism play important roles in the maintenance of renal blood flow and salt and water
homeostasis (Campean et al., 2003). In vascular biology, the two major products of COX are thromboxane A₂, which is mainly formed by the constitutive isoform of COX, COX-1, and prostacyclin which is mainly produced in vascular cells by COX-1 and the inducible isoform COX-2. COX-1 is expressed constitutively in vascular endothelial cells and in smooth muscle cells whereas COX-2 may be induced in these tissues in response to vascular injury (Habib et al., 1993; Rimarachin et al., 1994).

Diabetes alters the responsiveness of different vascular beds to several vasoconstrictors and vasodilators, and it has been hypothesised that endothelial dysfunction could partially explain many of these altered responses (Haller, 1997; De Vriese et al., 2000). Since the discovery of endothelin-1 as the most potent vasoconstrictor and pressor substance (Yanagisawa et al., 1998), several events have suggested its implication in various cardiovascular disorders, including those related to diabetes mellitus. It is clear that many of the well-known metabolic abnormalities encountered in diabetes mellitus, such as those affecting plasma insulin, glucose and lipid levels, contribute individually and synergistically to alterations in the release and action of endothelin-1 (Hopfner and Gopalakrishnan, 1999). Endothelin-1 exerts a powerful vascular influence on the renal circulation, resulting in decreases in renal blood flow and glomerular filtration (Oyekan and McGiff, 1998). Urinary excretion of endothelin-1 is decreased in patients with recent-onset diabetes mellitus, thus suggesting a possible role of endothelin-1 in the pathogenesis of early diabetic nephropathy (Lam et al., 1995). Excessive endothelin-1 action in the diabetic glomerulus can cause enhanced matrix accumulation, proteinuria, and reduced glomerular filtration rate, existing abundant evidence in vivo that endothelin-1 antagonists ameliorate glomerular injury in animal models of diabetic nephropathy (Sorokin and Kohan, 2003).

We have previously reported that diabetes changes endothelial modulatory mechanisms in the rabbit renal artery (Alabadi et al., 2001; Miranda et al., 2002). In two recent studies we have reported that diabetes induces hyperreactivity of the rabbit basilar (Alabadi et al., 2004) and carotid (Llorêns et al., 2004) arteries to endothelin-1, via a mechanism that involves changes in the modulatory role of endothelial release of NO and prostanoids and in the role of endothelin ETₐ and ETₐ receptors. The aim of the present study was to analyse diabetes-induced changes in the reactivity of the rabbit renal artery to endothelin-1, including the study of the contribution of specific receptors and COX-1 and COX-2 derivatives on this response.

2. Materials and methods

Forty-eight male New Zealand white rabbits were used in the present study. Animals were randomly divided into two experimental groups: twenty five in the control group and twenty three destined for induction of experimental diabetes. Housing conditions and experimental procedures were in accordance with the European Union regulations on the use of animals for scientific purposes (86/609/EEC, Article 5, Appendix II) and as promulgated by Spanish legislation on March 14, 1988 (RD 223/1988).

2.1. Induction of diabetes and control animals

For induction of experimental diabetes, rabbits weighing 2.0–3.2 kg were sedated with intramuscular injection of ketamine (40 mg; Ketolar®). Diabetes was induced by injecting alloxan (100 mg kg⁻¹) into the lateral ear vein. To prevent hypoglycaemia, 10 ml of glucose 5% was injected intravenously after the alloxan, and drinking water was supplemented with 10% glucose for the first 24 h after the alloxan injection. Thereafter, the animals were maintained on tap water and regular food ad libitum for six weeks. A second group of rabbits (2.1–3.0 kg) was maintained under the same conditions for the same time period to serve as age-matched controls (henceforth “control rabbits”). Diabetic rabbits did not receive insulin through the six weeks and showed a marked increase in serum glucose and a failure to increase their body weight when compared with control rabbits. Table 1 shows the mean values of body weight and glycaemia before and six weeks after diabetes induction for the rabbits in the diabetic group and for the rabbits in the control group.

2.2. Isometric tension recording

Six weeks after diabetes induction, the diabetic and the age-matched control rabbits were anaesthetised with sodium thiopental (sodium pentothal, 2%, i.v.) and killed by injection of potassium chloride (10 mEq, 0.5 ml/kg, i.v.). The renal arteries were dissected free and cut into cylindrical segments measuring 4 mm in length. Each segment was prepared for isometric tension recording in an organ bath. Two stainless steel L-shaped pins (diameter, 207 μm) were introduced through the arterial lumen. One pin was fixed to the organ bath wall and the other pin was connected to a strain gauge for isometric tension recording (isometric tension transducer models Panlab UF-1 and Letica TRI 201). The organ bath contained 5 ml of Ringer solution that was continuously bubbled with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂ to provide a pH of 7.3–7.4. Temperature was kept at 37 °C. A resting tension of 2 g was applied to the arterial segment, and they were allowed to equilibrate for a period of 60–90 min before the experiments were started. Tension was readjusted when necessary and the bath fluid was changed every

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Body weight and glycaemia in control and diabetic rabbits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control rabbits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial time</td>
<td>2.50±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 weeks after</td>
<td>3.50±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic rabbits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial time</td>
<td>2.52±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 weeks after</td>
<td>3.08±0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are means±S.E.M.

* Significantly different from corresponding value in control rabbits, P<0.01.
After this period of equilibration, the reactivity of the arterial segments was checked by depolarisation with 50 mM KCl. There were not significant differences in the response to KCl between arteries from control and diabetic rabbits.

2.3. Concentration–response curves of endothelin-1

The experiments were carried out with renal arteries from both control and diabetic rabbits. Concentration–response curves for endothelin-1 (10^{-12}–3\times10^{-8} M) were obtained by its cumulative addition to the organ bath. To assess the influence of the endothelium on the effect of endothelin-1, concentration–response curves were obtained with arteries from which the endothelium had been removed by rubbing the intimal surface with a scored stainless steel rod (rubbed arteries). The absence of endothelium was checked either by silver staining or by testing the absence of relaxant response to acetylcholine. To assess the participation of NO in the response of renal artery to endothelin-1, concentration–response curves for this peptide were obtained after incubation (20 min) of the renal arteries with the inhibitor of NO synthase (NOS) N\textsuperscript{G}-nitro-L-arginine (L-NOARG, 10^{-4} M). To examine the possibility that some arachidonic acid derivative could modulate the arterial response to endothelin-1, we obtained concentration–response curves for endothelin-1 after incubation (20 min) of the arterial segments with either indomethacin (10^{-5} M), an inhibitor of cyclooxygenase 1 and 2, or nimesulide (10^{-6} M), a selective inhibitor of cyclooxygenase 2. To verify the endothelial origin of the arachidonic acid derivatives, concentration–response curves for endothelin-1 after incubation with indomethacin (10^{-5} M) were obtained in rubbed arteries. To check the participation of specific endothelin ETA receptors, concentration–response curves for endothelin-1 were obtained in the presence of selective endothelin ETA receptor antagonist cyclo-(D-Asp-Pro-D-Val-Leu-D-Trp) (BQ-123, 10^{-6} M). To assess the participation of specific endothelin ETB receptors, concentration–response curves for endothelin-1 were obtained, in unrubbed and rubbed arteries, in the presence of selective endothelin ETB receptor antagonist 2,6-Dimethylpiperidinecarbonyl-\gamma-Methyl-Leu-N\textsubscript{in}(Methoxy carbonyl)-d-Trp-d-Nle (BQ-788, 10^{-6} M). Finally, to study the dependence of arachidonic acid derivatives on the endothelin ETA and ETB receptors concentration–response curves for endothelin-1 were obtained in arteries with endothelium in the presence of indomethacin (10^{-5} M) plus BQ-123 (10^{-6} M) and in rubbed arteries incubated with indomethacin (10^{-5} M) plus BQ-788 (10^{-6} M).

2.4. Drugs and solutions

Alloxan, endothelin-1, indomethacin, nimesulide, BQ-123 and BQ-788 were obtained from Sigma and L-NOARG from Peptide Institute Inc. Alloxan was dissolved in saline solution. Endothelin-1 was dissolved in 0.1% aqueous acetic acid and diluted in a mixture of phosphate-buffered saline solution (NaCl 150 mM + NaH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4} 10 mM) and 0.05% bovine serum albumin. BQ-123, BQ-788 and L-NOARG were dissolved in twice-distilled water; the L-NOARG solution required sonication to dissolve completely. Indomethacin and nimesulide were dissolved in ethanol and diluted in saline solution. The composition of the Ringer–Locke solution was (mM): NaCl, 120; KCl, 5.4; CaCl\textsubscript{2}, 2.2; MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 1.0; NaHCO\textsubscript{3}, 25; and glucose, 5.6. To prepare the KCl-depolarising solution, NaCl was replaced by an equimolar amount of KCl in the normal Ringer–Locke solution.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Comparisons of body weight and glycaemia between control and diabetic rabbits were made by using unpaired Student’s t-test. Endothelin-1 induced contractions are expressed as a percentage of the previous depolarisation induced by 50 mM KCl. For each concentration–response curve the maximum effect (E\textsubscript{max}) and the concentration of endothelin-1 which produced half of E\textsubscript{max} (EC\textsubscript{50}) were calculated. Maximum effects are expressed as mean±S.E.M. and EC\textsubscript{50} as the geometric mean with its confidence limits (95%) for repeated experiments. Statistical comparisons of E\textsubscript{max} and −log EC\textsubscript{50} (pD\textsubscript{2}) values of the concentration–response curves for endothelin-1 obtained with the different treatments in the arteries were achieved by using unpaired Student’s t-test. A probability value of less than 5% was considered significant.

3. Results

ET-1 (10^{-12}–3\times10^{-8} M) induced a concentration-dependent contraction of the renal artery from either control or diabetic rabbits (Fig. 1). The pD\textsubscript{2} value of the concentration–response curve was significantly lower in arteries from diabetic rabbits than in arteries from control rabbits, without significant differences between E\textsubscript{max} values (Table 2). In arteries from control rabbits, mechanical removal of the endothelium significantly increased both the E\textsubscript{max} and the pD\textsubscript{2} values of the concentration–response curves for endothelin-1 obtained with the different treatments in the arteries were achieved by using unpaired Student’s t-test. A probability value of less than 5% was considered significant.

Fig. 1. Concentration–response contractile curves for endothelin-1 in renal arteries isolated from control and diabetic rabbits: control, incubated with L-NOARG (10^{-4} M) and incubated with BQ-123 (10^{-6} M). Values represent means±S.E.M.
In arteries from diabetic rabbits, removal of the endothelium significantly increased the pD$_2$ value, without significant changes in $E_{\text{max}}$ value induced by endothelin-1 (Fig. 3, Table 2). The concentration–response curve for endothelin-1 obtained in L-NOARG pretreated arteries from diabetic rabbits showed a significantly lower pD$_2$ value with respect to its corresponding value in arteries from control rabbits, without significant differences in the $E_{\text{max}}$ values (Table 2).

Incubation with L-NOARG (10$^{-4}$ M) significantly enhanced the pD$_2$ value of the concentration–response curve for endothelin-1 in arterial segments from control rabbits, without modifying the $E_{\text{max}}$ value, and enhanced both $E_{\text{max}}$ and pD$_2$ values in arteries from diabetic rabbits (Fig. 1, Table 2). The concentration–response curve for endothelin-1 obtained in L-NOARG pretreated arteries from diabetic rabbits showed a significantly lower pD$_2$ value with respect to its corresponding value in arteries from control rabbits, without significant differences in the $E_{\text{max}}$ values (Table 2).

The incubation of renal arteries from control (Fig. 2) or diabetic (Fig. 3) rabbits with indomethacin (10$^{-5}$ M) significantly diminished the pD$_2$ value (displaced to the right) of the concentration–response curve to endothelin-1 in control rabbits (Fig. 2, Table 2) but increased both $E_{\text{max}}$ and pD$_2$ values in diabetic rabbits (Fig. 3, Table 2). The $E_{\text{max}}$ and pD$_2$ values of the concentration–response curve for endothelin-1 obtained in indomethacin-treated arteries from diabetic rabbits were

### Table 2

Maximum effect ($E_{\text{max}}$) and pD$_2$ values for concentration–response curves for ET-1 in rabbit renal artery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control rabbit</th>
<th>Diabetic rabbit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$E_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>pD$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>99±5</td>
<td>8.98±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubbed</td>
<td>121±6$^a$</td>
<td>9.34±0.09$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-NOARG 10$^{-4}$ M</td>
<td>108±6</td>
<td>9.53±0.12$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indomethacin 10$^{-5}$ M</td>
<td>95±4</td>
<td>8.63±0.12$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubbed indomethacin 10$^{-5}$ M</td>
<td>130±6$^a$</td>
<td>8.92±0.10$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nimesulide 10$^{-6}$ M</td>
<td>129±12$^a$</td>
<td>9.08±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BQ-123 10$^{-6}$ M</td>
<td>72±10</td>
<td>8.19±0.05$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BQ-123 10$^{-6}$ M+indomethacin 10$^{-5}$ M</td>
<td>115±9$^a$</td>
<td>8.34±0.09$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BQ-788 10$^{-6}$ M</td>
<td>129±7$^b$</td>
<td>8.92±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubbed BQ-788 10$^{-6}$ M</td>
<td>115±5$^b$</td>
<td>9.18±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubbed BQ-788 10$^{-6}$ M+indomethacin 10$^{-5}$ M</td>
<td>165±13$^{a,c,d,f,g,h}$</td>
<td>9.31±0.09$^{d,e}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$E_{\text{max}}$ values are expressed as a percentage of a previous depolarisation with KCl 50 mmol/l. $E_{\text{max}}$ and pD$_2$ values are means±S.E.M.; n: number of arterial segments. $^a$Significantly different from corresponding value in control rabbits, P<0.05; $^b$significantly different from corresponding control value, P<0.05; $^c$significantly different from corresponding rubbed value, P<0.05; $^d$significantly different from corresponding indomethacin value, P<0.05; $^e$significantly different from corresponding BQ-123 value, P<0.05; $^f$significantly different from corresponding BQ-788 value, P<0.05; $^g$significantly different from corresponding rubbed BQ-788 value, P<0.05; $^h$significantly different from corresponding rubbed indomethacin value, P<0.05.

---

**Fig. 2.** Concentration–response contractile curves for endothelin-1 in renal arteries isolated from control rabbits: control, without endothelium (rubbed), incubated with indomethacin (10$^{-5}$ M), rubbed incubated with indomethacin (10$^{-5}$ M), and incubated with nimesulide (10$^{-6}$ M). Values represent means±S.E.M.

**Fig. 3.** Concentration–response contractile curves for endothelin-1 in renal arteries isolated from diabetic rabbits: control, without endothelium (rubbed), incubated with indomethacin (10$^{-5}$ M), rubbed incubated with indomethacin (10$^{-5}$ M), and incubated with nimesulide (10$^{-6}$ M). Values represent means±S.E.M.
significantly higher than those obtained in indomethacin-treated arteries from control rabbits (Table 2).

The incubation of rubbed renal arteries either from control (Fig. 2) or diabetic (Fig. 3) rabbits with indomethacin (10^{-5} M) significantly diminished the pD2 value of the concentration–response curve for endothelin-1 with respect to the corresponding value obtained in rubbed arteries in the absence of indomethacin (Table 2). The $E_{\text{max}}$ and pD2 values of the concentration–response curve for endothelin-1 obtained in indomethacin-treated rubbed arteries from diabetic rabbits were significantly lower than those obtained in indomethacin-treated rubbed arteries from control rabbits (Table 2).

The incubation of renal arteries either from control (Fig. 2) or diabetic (Fig. 3) rabbits with nimesulide (10^{-6} M) significantly increased the $E_{\text{max}}$ of the concentration–response curve for endothelin-1, without modifying the pD2 value (Table 2). The pD2 value of the concentration–response curve for endothelin-1 obtained in nimesulide-treated arteries from diabetic rabbits was significantly lower than that obtained in nimesulide-treated arteries from control rabbits (Table 2).

The incubation with BQ-123 (10^{-6} M) significantly inhibited the contractile response to endothelin-1 of renal arteries from control rabbits (Fig. 1, Table 2) but enhanced it in arteries from diabetic rabbits (Fig. 1, Table 2). The incubation of BQ-123 (10^{-6} M)-pretreated renal arteries either from control or diabetic rabbits with indomethacin (10^{-5} M) significantly increased the $E_{\text{max}}$ value of the concentration–response curve for endothelin-1 in control rabbits (Table 2) but inhibited the $E_{\text{max}}$ value in diabetic rabbits (Table 2) with respect to the corresponding value obtained in BQ-123 (10^{-6} M)-pretreated arteries in the absence of indomethacin.

The incubation of renal arteries from control rabbits with BQ-788 (10^{-6} M) significantly increased the $E_{\text{max}}$ value of the concentration–response curve for endothelin-1, without modifying the pD2 value (Fig. 4, Table 2). In arteries from diabetic rabbits, incubation with BQ-788 (10^{-6} M) did not significantly modify the contractile response to endothelin-1 (Fig. 5, Table 2). The $E_{\text{max}}$ of the concentration–response curve for endothelin-1 obtained in BQ-788-treated arteries from diabetic rabbits was significantly lower than that obtained in BQ-788-treated arteries from control rabbits (Table 2).

The incubation of rubbed renal arteries either from control (Fig. 4) or diabetic (Fig. 5) rabbits with BQ-788 (10^{-6} M) did not modify (control rabbits) or significantly increased the pD2 value (diabetic rabbits) of the concentration–response curve for endothelin-1 with respect to the corresponding value obtained in rubbed arteries in the absence of BQ-788 (Table 2).

The incubation with indomethacin (10^{-5} M) of rubbed renal arteries from either control (Fig. 4) or diabetic (Fig. 5) rabbits with BQ-788 (10^{-6} M) did not significantly modify the contractile response to endothelin-1 with respect to the corresponding curve obtained in rubbed arteries incubated only with BQ-788 (Table 2). The $E_{\text{max}}$ and the pD2 values of the concentration–response curve for endothelin-1 obtained in BQ-788 plus indomethacin treated rubbed arteries from diabetic rabbits were significantly lower than those obtained in BQ-788 plus indomethacin treated rubbed arteries from control rabbits (Table 2).

4. Discussion

Endothelin-1 induced a concentration-dependent contraction of the rabbit renal artery which was slight but significantly less potent in arterial segments from diabetic rabbits, suggesting that diabetes decreases the sensitivity of the isolated rabbit renal artery to endothelin-1. Several studies have shown reduced responsiveness to endothelin-1 in the
blood vessels of diabetic animals (Hodge and King, 1992; Chakravarthy et al., 1994). In patients with diabetes type II the vasoconstrictor responses to exogenous endothelin-1 are impaired in the forearm blood flow (Nugent et al., 1996; Cardillo et al., 2002). In contrast, diabetes does not modify the contractile response of rat basilar artery to endothelin-1 (Mayhan, 1998) and increases sensitivity of human cutaneous resistance arteries to endothelin-1 (McIntyre et al., 2001).

Endothelium denudation of arterial rings enhanced the contractile response of renal artery to endothelin-1, both in terms of $E_{\text{max}}$ and pH in control rabbits, and only in terms of pH (displaced to the left the concentration–response curve for endothelin-1) in diabetic rabbits, thus indicating that the endothelium partially counteracts the endothelin-1-mediated vasoconstriction. The endothelin-1 induced contraction of endothelium-denuded diabetic arteries was significantly lower than that obtained in endothelium-denuded arteries from control rabbits. Therefore, the decreased sensitivity of diabetic renal arteries to endothelin-1 cannot be attributed to a reduced release of vasodilator endothelial modulators.

We studied the possibility that the modulatory action of the endothelium could be achieved, at least partially, through the release of NO. Incubation of renal arteries from control rabbits with the inhibitor of the constitutive NOS, L-NOARG, significantly enhanced the sensitivity of arterial segments to endothelin-1, without modifying the maximal contraction, thus indicating the participation of NO in the modulatory action of the endothelium on this response. This seems consistent with in vivo studies which have demonstrated that endothelin-1 stimulates the release of NO in the human forearm circulation (Cardillo et al., 2000). In arteries from diabetic rabbits, L-NOARG significantly enhanced the contraction induced by endothelin-1, both in terms of $E_{\text{max}}$ and pH. This result suggests that diabetes enhances the modulatory action of endothelial NO on the response of the renal artery to endothelin-1. Results previously obtained in our laboratory show that experimental diabetes enhances the modulatory activity of endothelial constitutive NO on the response of either the rabbit renal artery to acetyletholine (Alabadi et al., 2001) or the rabbit carotid artery to 5-hydroxytryptamine (Miranda et al., 2000b). In addition, we have also reported that diabetes does not modify the sensitivity of vascular smooth muscle to NO in the rabbit renal artery (Alabadi et al., 2001). Therefore, our results suggest that diabetes enhances the production of NO from the endothelial cells of the rabbit renal artery.

In the present work, we examined the participation of arachidonic acid metabolites derived via COX in the modulatory action of the response of the renal artery to endothelin-1. In arteries from control rabbits, indomethacin, an inhibitor of both COX-1 and COX-2, significantly decreased the sensitivity of the renal artery to endothelin-1, but nimesulide, a selective inhibitor of COX-2, significantly enhanced the contractions to endothelin-1. Our results suggest that the response of the renal artery to endothelin-1 is modulated by the release of a vasoconstrictor-prostanoid via COX-1, and a vasodilator-prostanoid via COX-2, predominating the influence of vasoconstrictor prostanoid on that of vasodilator one. These results are in agreement with those obtained in rats suggesting that cyclooxygenase metabolites from arachidonic acid contribute to endothelin-1 afferent arteriolar vasoconstrictor response (Imig et al., 2000). On the other hand, our results indicate that COX-2 is expressed in the renal artery of control rabbits. COX-2 is expressed in vasculature of normal adult human kidney (Therland et al., 2004). Celecoxib, a COX-2 selective inhibitor, diminishes medullar prostacyclin and thromboxane A2 concentration in the kidney of the rat (Miyatake et al., 2002) lowering the ratio prostacyclin/thromboxane A2 (Lomnicka et al., 2003). In addition, celecoxib blocks prostacyclin production of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (Smith et al., 2002). However, our results are in contrast with the absence of effect of nimesulide on vasoconstrictor action of arachidonic acid in the rat kidney suggesting that COX-2 isoform is not expressed (Quilley and Chen, 2003). Probably, these differences could be explained because of species variability.

In arteries from diabetic rabbits either indomethacin or nimesulide significantly enhanced the arterial response to endothelin-1, suggesting that diabetes alters the balance between vasoconstrictor (COX-1) and vasodilator (COX-2) prostanoids in favour of the last ones. This change could contribute to the decreased sensitivity of the renal artery to endothelin-1 in diabetes. Several studies have described a decreased formation of vasoconstrictor prostanoid in rat mesenteric arterial bed in response to methoxamine (Makino and Kamata, 1998), rabbit carotid arteries in response to acetyletholine (Miranda et al., 2000a) or 5-hydroxytryptamine (Miranda et al., 2000b) and rabbit renal arteries in response to 5-hydroxytryptamine (Miranda et al., 2002) in diabetes. In contrast, we have reported an increased production of vasoconstrictor prostanoids in the carotid artery from diabetic rabbits in response to endothelin-1 (Lloréns et al., 2004). Moreover, the renal production of thromboxane A2 and prostacyclin is increased in diabetic rats (Okumura et al., 2000). In addition, in rat kidney diabetes induces an increase in vasoconstrictor prostanoids via COX-2 (Quilley and Chen, 2003). These data support the specificity of the changes in modulatory mechanisms of the arterial response to endothelin-1 mentioned above, and show that changes in vascular reactivity induced by diabetes may vary depending on the species, vascular bed and stimuli studied. A recent study reports the existence of sex and regional differences in the alterations induced by diabetes on the reactivity of vascular smooth muscle (Sanz et al., 2003).

In arteries without endothelium from control and diabetic rabbits, indomethacin significantly decreased the sensitivity of the renal artery to endothelin-1 with respect to that obtained in the absence of the inhibitor, thus suggesting that COX-1 vasoconstrictor prostanoid is released from vascular smooth muscle cells, as has been described in rat perfused juxtamedullary nephron (Imig et al., 2000).

The vascular actions of endothelin-1 are primarily mediated by two distinct G-protein-coupled receptor subtypes designated endothelin ETA and ETB (Hopfer and Gopalakrishnan, 1999). Both endothelin ETA and endothelin ETB receptors are located...
in smooth muscle cells and mediate vasoconstriction. Endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptors are also located in the endothelium and mediate vasodilation through the activation of the release of NO and prostacyclin. In control rabbits, the antagonist of endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> receptors, BQ-123, significantly inhibited the contractile response of the renal artery to endothelin-1, and the antagonist of ET<sub>B</sub> receptors BQ-788 significantly enhanced the contractile action of endothelin-1. These results are in agreement with those obtained in rabbits (Evans et al., 2000) and rats (Just et al., 2004) showing a net vasoconstrictor influence of endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> receptors and a net vasodilator influence of endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptors on renal blood flow.

In diabetic rabbits, BQ-123 significantly enhanced the contraction of the renal artery to endothelin-1 but BQ-788 did not modify it. These results suggest that diabetes induces changes in the role of specific endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> receptors: the activation of endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> receptors has a net vasodilator influence, and the activation of endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptors has no influence or, more probably, there is equilibrium between vasoconstrictor and vasodilator influence following their activation. In addition, in the presence of BQ-788 the contractile response of renal arteries from diabetic rabbits was lower than that of arteries from control rabbits. This result suggests that the lower sensitivity to endothelin-1 of renal arteries from diabetic animals could be related to a lower contractile activity of endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> receptors. Other studies have also reported a decrease of vasoconstrictor activity of endothelin-1 (Makino and Kamata, 1998; McAuley et al., 2000; Ajayi et al., 2004) in diabetes. However, it has been reported an enhanced activity of endogenous endothelin-1 on endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> receptors in the resistance vessels of patients with type II diabetes (Cardillo et al., 2002); nevertheless, the decrease in the contractile action of exogenous endothelin-1 observed in that study suggests that the enhanced activity is more related to an increased level of endogenous endothelin than to an increased sensitivity of the endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> receptor.

In the present study we have examined the relationship between the loss of COX-1 prostanoid vasconstrictor activity in diabetes and the observed changes in the role of specific endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> receptors. In arteries from control rabbits, in the presence of BQ-123 the incubation with indomethacin enhanced contractile response to endothelin-1, thus indicating the existence of a net vasodilator influence of prostanoids activated by endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptors. In contrast, in arteries from diabetic rabbits, in the presence of BQ-123 the incubation with indomethacin inhibited contractile response to endothelin-1, thus indicating the existence in diabetes of a net vasoconstrictor influence of prostanoids activated by endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptors. Contraction of guinea-pig gallbladder caused via ET<sub>B</sub> receptors are mediated to a large extent by contractile eicosanoids (Nora et al., 2000).

In rubbed arteries from control rabbits, the incubation with BQ-788 did not significantly modify the contractile action of endothelin-1. This result, together with the enhancement of the contractile response induced by BQ-788 in arteries with endothelium suggests that the vasodilation after activation of endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptors is mediated by endothelium, probably through NO and COX-2 vasodilator prostanoids. Similar results have been reported showing that the activation of endothelial endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptors produces vasodilation mediated by nitric oxide and eicosanoids (Cardillo et al., 2000; D’Orleans-Juste et al., 2002). In rubbed arteries from diabetic rabbits, the incubation with BQ-788 increased the contractile potency of endothelin-1, thus showing the existence in diabetes of a vasoconstrictor action mediated by endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptors (probably through NO and COX-2 vasodilator prostanoids released from vascular smooth muscle cells). Therefore, our results suggest that, in diabetes, the activation of endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptors induces the release of COX-1 vasoconstrictor prostanoids which counteracts the release of NO and COX-2 vasodilator prostanoids.

Finally, both in control and diabetic rabbits, the incubation with indomethacin of rubbed arteries pretreated with BQ-788 induced an enhancement of the contractile action of endothelin-1, thus suggesting that activation of ET<sub>A</sub> receptors induces the release from the smooth muscle cells of prostanoids with a net vasodilator activity. Other studies have reported the release of vasodilator prostanoids after activation of endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> receptors (Wright and Malik, 1995; Nora et al., 2000). The contractile action of endothelin-1 in rubbed arteries incubated with BQ-788 plus indomethacin in diabetic rabbits was lower than the corresponding action in arteries from control rabbits; because of the fact that in the absence of indomethacin there were not significant differences, these results suggest that diabetes diminishes the vasodilator activity of the prostanoids released from smooth muscle cells in response to activation of endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> receptors. This decreased vasodilator activity would not explain the loss of vasoconstrictor influence of the activation of endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> receptors observed in diabetes, which could probably be explained by the impairment of COX-1 prostanoid vasoconstrictor release.

In summary, diabetes induces complexes changes in the regulatory mechanisms that regulate the contractile response of the rabbit renal artery to endothelin-1: (1) enhancement of endothelial NO; (2) altered balance between vasoconstrictor (COX-1) and vasodilator (COX-2) prostanoids in favour of the last ones; and (3) decreased ratio between vasoconstrictor and vasodilator prostanoids released after activation of endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> receptors predominating on the increased ratio after activation of endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptors. The sum of these changes results in a decrease in the sensitivity of the renal artery to this peptide. The possible vascular implications for the use of COX-inhibitors and endothelin-related drugs should be considered in diabetic patients.
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