Cyanide-bridged Fe(III)–Co(II) bis double zigzag chains with a slow relaxation of the magnetisation†

Luminita Marilena Toma,* Rodrigue Lescouëzec, Marie-Julie Breton,* Miguel Julve,† and Jacqueline Vaissermann,* Michel Verdaguer†

† Department de Química Inorgànica/Instituto de Ciencia Molecular, Facultat de Química de la Universitat de València, Avda. Dr. Moliner 50, 46100-Barajasot (València), Spain
‡ Laboratoire de Chimie Inorganique et Matériaux Moléculaires, Unité CNRS 7071, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4 Place Jussieu, Case 42, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 24th February 2003, Accepted 20th May 2003

DOI: 10.1039/b302182n

First published as an Advance Article on the web 27th June 2003

Reaction of [Fe(II)(bipy)(CN)4]− with fully solvated MII cations [M = Co (1) and Mn (2)] produces the isostructural bis double zigzag chains [[Fe(II)(bipy)(CN)4]MII(H2O)]·MeCN·H2O; 1 exhibits intrachain ferromagnetic and interchain antiferromagnetic couplings, slow magnetic relaxation and hysteresis effects.

One of the fields developing rapidly in the area of molecular magnetic materials is the chemistry and physics of molecular systems with a slow relaxation of the magnetisation. Their interest is twofold: (a) fundamental, since classical properties of macroscopic magnets coexist with quantum effects (tunnelling of magnetisation, quantisation of magnetic moments); and (b) applied, since they can lead to the ultimate density—molecular—of magnetic information storage and quantum calculations. They are often named, “single molecule magnets” (SMMs). A one-dimensional class, of slow relaxing magnetisation compounds appeared more recently, named either magnetic nanowires or single-chain magnets: one ferrimagnetic cobalt(II)–organic radical chain, two ferromagnetic bimetallic μ-cyano Fe(III)–Co(II) double chains, and a ferrimagnetic Mn(III)–Ni(II) chain. The anisotropy energy barrier is higher than in the SMMs, reaching values around 150 K, increasing the hope of magnetic information storage at reasonable temperatures.

Using the stable low spin iron(III) [Fe(III)(bipy)(CN)4]− as a ligand, we obtained two new isostructural bimetallic chains, [[Fe(II)(bipy)(CN)4]2M(II)(H2O)]·MeCN·H2O [M = Co (1) and Mn (2); bipy = 2,2′-bipyridine], which result from the condensation of two double zigzag chains® and whose structure and preliminary magnetic investigation are presented here.

Single crystals of 1 and 2 were grown in a MeCN : H2O 90 : 10 (v/v) mixture by a slow-diffusion method using an H-double-tube glass vessel in the dark. The starting solutions were preparations of PPh4[Fe(bipy)(CN)4] and Mn(NO3)2·4H2O (0.05 mmol) in one arm and of Co(NO3)2·6H2O (1) or Mn(NO3)2·4H2O (2) (0.1 mmol) in the other one. After a few weeks, orange plates (1) and red prisms (2) were formed together with an unidentified dark green powder. The crystals were collected and dried on filter paper.

Yield: ca. 20% for 1 and 2.

1 and 2 are isostructural.® Their structure is made up of neutral one-dimensional [[Fe(bipy)(CN)4]2M(H2O)] units running parallel to the b axis (Fig. 1, top) and crystallisation water and MeCN molecules. The crystallographically independent unit (Figs. S1 and S2) contains two types of iron [Fe(1) and Fe(2)] and one cobalt [Co(1), 1] or manganese [Mn(1), 2] atoms, the latter being connected to five iron atoms through cyanide bridges (Fig. 1, bottom). This leads to a corrugated ladder-like chain with regular alternating Fe(1) and M(1) atoms along the edges. Each rung is defined by an Fe–M pair, where each pair of adjacent M atoms is connected through iron [Fe(2)]. A cyano group bridges each Fe–M pair. The two crystallographically independent iron atoms (Fe(1) and Fe(2)) exhibit the same distorted octahedral surrounding FeNC4 as in previous reports. The difference between [Fe(1)(bipy)(CN)4]− and [Fe(2)(bipy)(CN)4]− is that the former acts as a trismonodentate ligand toward the M atoms through three cyanides in cis position whereas the latter adopts a bismonodentate coordination mode (two cyanides in cis position). The M atom is six-co-ordinated with five cyanide-nitrogen atoms and a water molecule forming a distorted MN6O octahedron.

The value of the dihedral angle between adjacent mean planes of the corrugated ladder-like motif is 90° (angle at the Fe(1)···Fe(c) hinge in Fig. 1 (bottom)), whereas those between the Fe(1)M(a) plane and Fe(1)M(a)Fe(1)dM(c) and Fe(1)M(1d)M(c) mean planes are 87° and 93° (1 and 2). The values of the Fe···M distances vary between 4.963(1) and 5.217(1) Å for 1 and 5.007(1) and 5.313(1) Å for 2. The shortest interchain metal–metal separations are 8.085(1) (1) and 8.090(1) Å (2) [Fe(2)···Fe(2e); (e) = 1 – x, –y, 2 – z]. The acetonitrile molecule is well isolated in the structure whereas hydrogen bonds link the coordinated and crystallization water molecules [O(10)···O(1) = 2.39(2) Å and 2.43(2) Å (2)].

Fig. 1 Top: A view of the structure of 1 and 2 along the b axis. Solvent and hydrogen atoms are omitted. The metals are yellow (Fe) and pink [Co (1) or Mn (2)]. Bottom: Schematic view of the metallic frame of 1 (M = Co) and 2 (M = Mn). Selected bond lengths and angles are in Table S1 in ESL†
crystallization water and one of the terminal cyanide nitrogens \((\text{O}10)-\text{N}(8b) = 3.00(2)\) (1) and \((2.97(1))\) Å (2).

The thermal variation of \(\chi_M T\) per Fe\(^{III}\)Co\(^{II}\) unit of a powder sample of 1 is shown in Fig. 2. At room temperature, \(\chi_M T\) is equal to 5.0 cm\(^3\) mol\(^{-1}\) K, which corresponds to one high spin Co(II) (S\(=\) 2) and two low spin Fe(n) (SFe = 2) ions with significant orbital contributions. Upon cooling, \(\chi_M T\) increases smoothly in a monotonous way [in line with an intrachain ferromagnetic coupling between Co(n) and Fe(n)] until \(T < 50\) K where it sharply increases to reach a maximum of ca. 94 cm\(^3\) mol\(^{-1}\) K at 10 K and further decreases with \(T\) (as the magnetisation becomes field dependent). A maximum of susceptibility is observed around 7 K for applied fields lower than 600 G (Fig. 2, top, inset), a sign of a weak antiferromagnetic coupling between ferromagnetic entities. The lack of magnetisation becomes field dependent. A maximum disappears for \(H > 600\) G, suggesting a field-orientation transition from an antiferromagnetic to a ferromagnetic state. This behaviour is consistent with the structure of 1. The sigmoidal shape of the \(M \) versus \(H\) plot at 2 K (Fig. 2, bottom) agrees with a metamagnetic behaviour. The magnetic energy for \(H = 600\) G is ca. 0.06 cm\(^3\) and gives the order of magnitude of the antiferromagnetic interchain interaction. In an applied field above 600 G, the interchain interaction is overcome and 1 behaves as ferromagnetic Fe\(^{III}\)Co\(^{II}\) chains. The lack of \(\lambda\)-peak in the heat capacity measurements on powder samples of 1 in the temperature range 290–1.8 K both at \(H = 0\) and 800 G, is a strong indication of the absence of three-dimensional magnetic order in 1. The magnetisation at saturation per Fe\(^{III}\)Co\(^{II}\) unit, at 2 K and 5 T, is \(M_S = 4.1\) \(\mu\)B per Fe\(^{III}\) and about 2 \(\mu\)B per Co\(^{II}\) (assuming an effective \(S_{Co} = 4\) and \(S_{Fe} = 4\)), in agreement with an intrachain ferromagnetic coupling. The magnetic behaviour of 1 above \(H \geq 600\) G is similar to that of the Fe\(^{III}\)Co\(^{II}\) double zigzag chains,\(^5\) which exhibit slow magnetic relaxation and hysteresis effects not associated with a three-dimensional magnetic order. The frequency dependence of the out-of-phase component \((\chi_M'')\) of the molar ac susceptibility of 1 under an applied field of 800 G is shown in Fig. 2. Between 2 and 7 K, the relaxation times follow an Arrhenius law \([\tau = \tau_0 \exp(E/Jk_B)T]\) with \(E/Jk_B = 152\) K and \(\tau_0 = 1.5 \times 10^{-17}\) s. The energy barrier \(E/Jk_B\) is close to those found in the previous nanowires.\(^3\)\(^–\)\(^5\) Indeed, the \(\tau_0\) prefactor is much smaller: obtained under an applied magnetic field, it deserves more study.

The magnetic behaviour of 2 (susceptibility and magnetisation at 2 K, Fig. S3) is the one of two ferrimagnetic Fe\(^{II}\)Mn chains coupled by a weak antiferromagnetic interaction. The flexibility of the system allows the substitution of Fe and Mn by other metal ions, the replacement of bipy by other chelating ligands and the tailoring of new cyanide-bridged architectures and magnetic properties. Indeed, our new example of magnetic nanowire demonstrates the possibility of expanding the range of slow magnetic relaxing materials. The Glauber’s model\(^7\) is probably too simple to fully describe the new magnetic behaviours.
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Notes and references

\(^\dagger\) Characterisation of 1 and 2: IR (solid, KBr pellets), \(\nu_{\text{CN}} = 2180, 2149\) and\(2115\) (1) and 2152, 2143 and 2114 cm\(^{-1}\) (2). Elemental analysis (C, H, N) is in agreement with their formulae.

\(^\$\) Crystal and structure refinement parameters for 1 and 2. Compound 1: \(C_{30}H_{22}Fe_{2}Co_{13}N_{13}O_{1.5}\), \(T = 295\) K, \(P_{21}/n\); \(Z = 4\), \(a = 19.848(7)\) Å, \(b = 7.365(2)\) Å, \(c = 21.143(7)\) Å, \(\beta = 96.67(3)°\), \(V = 3070(2)\) \(\text{Å}^3\), \(d_{\text{calc}} = 1.64\) g cm\(^{-3}\) \(^\ddagger\). \(\tau_0 = 0.067, wR = 0.0449\). Compound 2: \(C_{30}H_{22}Fe_{2}Mn_{13}N_{13}O_{1.5}\), \(T = 295\) K, \(P_{21}/n\); \(Z = 4\), \(a = 19.956(5)\) Å, \(b = 7.464(3)\) Å, \(c = 21.215(5)\) Å, \(\beta = 96.42(3)°\), \(V = 3140(1)\) \(\text{Å}^3\), \(d_{\text{calc}} = 1.60\) g cm\(^{-3}\) \(^\ddagger\). \(\tau_0 = 0.0406, wR = 0.0494\). Data were collected on a CAD-4 Enraf-Nonius diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K\(_\alpha\) radiation \((\lambda = 0.71069\) Å\), and were corrected for Lorentz, polarisation and absorption effects. The structures were solved by direct methods through SHELXS-86 and subsequently refined by Fourier recolling. The residual maxima and minima in the final Fourier difference maps were 0.57 and \(-0.47\) e \(\text{Å}^{-3}\) for I and 0.69 and \(-0.57\) e \(\text{Å}^{-3}\) for 2. CCDC 204864 (1) and 204865 (2). See http://www.crg.org/suppdata/ccdc/204862/ for crystallographic data in cif format.


